# Partially ordered sets

# Aryaman Maithani

Undergraduate IIT Bombay

1st October, 2019



A partially ordered set (or poset, for short) is a set P together with a binary relation  $\leq$  which satisfies the following three axioms:

$$\forall x \in P : x \le x, \forall x, y \in P : (x \le y \land y \le x) \implies x = y, and \forall x, y, z \in P : (x \le y \land y \le z) \implies x \le z.$$

By abuse of notation, we shall often refer to P as a poset, instead of  $(P, \leq)$  if there's no confusion. We may also use  $\leq_P$  at times when there's a possibility of confusion. We say that elements x and y of P are comparable if either  $x \leq y$  or  $y \leq x$ . The term *partially* refers to the fact that there may be elements in the poset that are not comparable.

We also define the following three notations:

$$x \ge y \text{ iff } y \le x,$$

- $\ \textbf{ or } x < y \text{ iff } x \leq y \text{ and } x \neq y, \text{ and }$
- x > y iff y < x.

We shall also concatenate things by writing  $x \le z \le y$  to mean  $x \le z$  and  $z \le y$ . We can extend this by concatenating more than three elements as well as using different operations such as  $x \le y < z \le w$ .

We shall also frequently use the following notation: Let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of positive integers. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $[n] := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \leq n\}$ . That is, [n] is the set of positive integers up to (and including) n. Here are some examples of posets. Let n be any positive integer.

- [n] with the usual ordering of integers is a poset. Moreover, any two elements are comparable.
- Q Let 2<sup>[n]</sup> denote all the subsets of [n]. We can define an ordering on 2<sup>[n]</sup> as: A ≤ B if A ⊂ B. As a poset, we shall denote this by B<sub>n</sub>.
- Quest S denote all the positive integer divisors of n.
  Define an ordering on S as: a ≤ b if a|b. As a poset, we shall denote this by D<sub>n</sub>.
- Let P denote the set of (set) partitions of [n]. Define an ordering on P as: π ≤ σ if every block of π is contained in a block of σ. As a poset, we shall denote this by Π<sub>n</sub>. As an example, let n = 5. Take π = [1][234][5] and σ = [1][2345]. Then, we have it that π ≤ σ.
- In general, any collection of sets can be ordered by inclusion to form a poset.

Let P and Q be two posets. An isomorphism is a map  $\varphi:P\to Q$  such that  $\varphi$  is a bijection and

 $x \leq_P y \iff \varphi(x) \leq_Q \varphi(y)$  for every x and y in P.

Two posets P and Q are said to be isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism from P to Q. We denote this by writing  $P \cong Q$ .

What this really means is that P and Q are identical in terms of their structure as a poset and the elements of P could simply be relabeled to give Q.

### Definition 2 (Weak subposet)

By a weak subposet of P, we mean a subset Q of P together with a partial ordering of Q such that  $x \leq_Q y \implies x \leq_Q y$  for all x and y in Q.

If Q is a weak subposet of P and Q = P as sets, then P is called a *refinement* of Q.

### Definition 3 (Induced subposet)

By an induced subposet of P, we mean a subset Q of P together with a partial ordering of Q such that  $x \leq_Q y \iff x \leq_Q y$  for all x and y in Q.

Unless otherwise mentioned, by a subposet of P, we shall always mean an induced subposet.

If  $|P| < \infty$ , then there exist exactly  $2^{|P|}$  induced subposets of P.

A special subposet of P is the (closed) interval  $[x, y] = \{z \in P : x \le z \le y\}$  defined whenever  $x \le y$ .

By definition, it should be clear that  $\emptyset$  is *not* an interval. Also, note that  $[x, x] = \{x\}$ .

#### Definition 5 (Locally finite poset)

If every interval of P is finite, then P is called a locally finite poset.

Examples of locally finite posets are:  $B_n$ ,  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Examples of non-locally finite posets are:  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ . ( $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  denotes the power set of  $\mathbb{N}$  which is a poset when ordered by inclusion.) ( $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$  have their usual ordering.)

A poset  $(P, \leq)$  is said to be finite if P is finite.

Every finite poset is locally finite but the converse is not true as we saw earlier in the case of  $\mathbb{Z}.$ 



### Definition 7 (Convex subposets)

We define a subposet Q of P to be convex if  $y \in Q$  whenever x < y < z and  $x, z \in Q$ .

Thus, an interval is always convex.

Definition 8 (Cover)

If  $x, y \in P$ , then we say that y covers x if x < y and  $\exists z \in P$  such that x < z < y.

The above is equivalent to saying that x < y and  $[x, y] = \{x, y\}$ . A locally finite poset *P* is completely determined by its cover relations.



The Hasse diagram of a finite poset P is the graph whose vertices are the elements of P, whose edges are cover relations, and such that if x < y, then y is drawn "above" x.



Figure: Hasse Diagrams of [5],  $D_{12}$ ,  $B_3$ , and  $\Pi_3$ 

Note that given the same poset, one may make different *looking* Hasse diagrams. If two posets have the same Hasse diagram, then they are clearly isomorphic.

# $\hat{0}$ and $\hat{1}$

We say that P has a  $\hat{0}$  if there exists an element  $\hat{0} \in P$  such that  $\hat{0} \leq x$  for all  $x \in P$ . Similarly, P has a  $\hat{1}$  is there exists an element  $\hat{1} \in P$  such that  $x \leq \hat{1}$  for all  $x \in P$ . We denote by  $\hat{P}$  the poset obtained by adjoining a  $\hat{0}$  and a  $\hat{1}$  to P. This is regardless of whether or not P had a  $\hat{0}$  or a  $\hat{1}$  to begin with.

Note that  $\hat{0}$  and  $\hat{1}$  have to comparable with every element, by definition.





We say that  $x \in P$  is a minimal element if  $y \leq x \implies y = x$  for all  $y \in P$ .

#### Definition 10

We say that  $x \in P$  is a maximal element if  $y \ge x \implies y = x$  for all  $y \in P$ .

Note that a poset may not have a minimal or a maximal element to begin with. Example -  $\mathbb N$ 

Even if a minimal (or maximal) element exists, it need not be unique. Example -  $\{2, 3\}$  regarded as a subposet of  $D_6$ . All the elements are minimal as well as maximal. The above example also illustrates that a minimal (maximal) element need not necessarily be  $\hat{0}$  ( $\hat{1}$ ). This sort of behaviour is precisely due to the fact that two elements may not be comparable.

A chain (or totally ordered set) is a poset in which any two elements are comparable.

# Definition 12

A subset C of P is called a chain if C is a chain when regarded as a subposet of P.

#### Definition 13

A chain C of P is called saturated (or unrefinable) if there does not exist  $z \in P \setminus C$  such that x < z < y for some x,  $y \in C$  and  $C \cup \{z\}$  is still a chain.

#### Definition 14

A chain C of P is called maximal if there does not exist  $z \in P \setminus C$  such that  $C \cup \{z\}$  is still a chain.

# Examples

Consider  $P = D_{30}$  and the following subsets of P:

- $C_1 = \{1, 15, 30\}$ .  $C_1$  is a chain but not saturated as 1 < 5 < 15 and  $C_1 \cup \{5\}$  is still a chain. For similar reasons, it is not maximal either.
- **2**  $C_2 = \{1, 5, 15\}$ .  $C_2$  is a chain. It is saturated as well. However, it is not maximal.
- **③**  $C_3 = \{1, 5, 15, 30\}$  is a maximal (and saturated) chain.
- $C_4 = P$  is not a chain. Note that  $C_4$  is an interval. Thus, intervals need not be chains.

In a locally finite poset, a chain  $x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n$  is saturated if and only if  $x_i$  covers  $x_{i-1}$  for all  $i \in [n]$ .

The length of a finite chain C is denoted by I(C) and is defined as I(C) := |C| - 1.

#### Definition 16

The length (or rank) of a finite poset is  $I(P) := \max\{I(C) : C \text{ is a chain of } P\}$ .

The length of an interval [x, y] is denoted by I(x, y).

#### Definition 17

If every maximal chain of P has the length  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , then we say that P is graded of rank n.

Before proving a result about graded posets, let us see the notion of something known as a *rank function*.

A rank function of a poset P is a function  $\rho : P \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  having the following properties:

- if x is minimal, then  $\rho(x) = 0$ , and
- 2) if y covers x, then  $\rho(y) = \rho(x) + 1$ .

Note that saying "a rank function" instead of "the rank function" has a subtlety. Given an arbitrary poset P, it is **not** necessary that is has a rank function. For example,  $\mathbb{Z}$  has no rank function. Also, given a poset P, it may have more than one rank functions as well. As an example, the set of nonnegative real numbers has infinitely many rank functions!

Even a finite poset need not have a rank function. Example-  $\{2, 6, 15, 30\}$  regarded as a subposet of  $D_{30}$ .

#### Theorem 1

Every graded poset possesses a unique rank function.

It is important to observe that even if the poset is not finite, it could still be graded. For example,  $(\mathbb{N}, =)$  is graded of rank 0. Before we prove Theorem 1, let us see another theorem.

#### Theorem 2

If  $x \leq y$ , then  $l(x, y) = \rho(y) - \rho(x)$ .

Given an element x of a graded poset, the existence and uniqueness of a rank function lets us talk about the rank of x. We define rank of x to be  $\rho(x)$ , where  $\rho$  is the unique rank function.

#### Lemma 1

Every finite chain possesses a unique rank function.

### Proof.

Assume  $C = \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  is a finite chain of length *n* such that  $x_0 < x_1 < \cdots x_n$ . Then,  $x_0$  is a minimal element of *C*, and for all  $i \in [n]$ , we have it that  $x_i$  covers  $x_{i-1}$ . Define  $\rho : C \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  by defining  $\rho(x_i) = i$ . Then,  $\rho$  satisfies the properties of a rank function. This shows the existence of a rank function.

Suppose  $\rho'$  were another rank function of *C* different from  $\rho$ . It is forced that  $\rho'(x_0) = 0$ . Thus, for some  $i \in [n]$ ,  $\rho(x_i) \neq \rho'(x_i)$ . If  $\rho(x_i) < \rho(x_i)'$ , then  $\rho'(x_0) = \rho'(x_1) - 1 = \cdots = \rho'(x_i) - i > i - i = 0$ , a contradiction. Similarly, if  $\rho(x_i) < \rho(x_i)'$ , we get that  $\rho'(x_0) < 0$ , a contradiction.

# Proof of Theorem 1

Assume *P* is a graded poset of rank *n*. Let  $C = \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  be an arbitrary maximal chan of *P* such that  $x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n$ . By Lemma 1, there exists a unique rank function  $\rho_C$  for *C*.

Let  $C' = \{x'_0, x'_1, \ldots, x'_n\}$  be any other maximal chain such that  $x'_0 < x'_1 < \cdots < x'_n$ and  $C \cap C' \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\rho_{C'}$  be the unique rank function for C' and suppose that for some  $x \in C \cap C', \rho_C(x) \neq \rho_{C'}(x)$ .

Then, there exist  $i, j \in [n] \cup \{0\}$  such that  $i \neq j$  and  $x = x_i = x'_j$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that j > i.

Then,  $\{x'_0, x_1, \ldots, x'_j = x_i, \ldots, x_n\}$  is a chain of length j + n - i > n, which contradicts the assumption of the rank of P.

Thus, we have shown that given any chains, their rank functions agree on the common values, if any.

Since  $P = \bigcup \{ C \subset P : C \text{ is a maximal chain of } P \}$ , we can define  $\rho(x) = \rho_C(x)$  where C is any maximal chain containing x. This map is well defined by our above exercise and its uniqueness follows from the uniqueness of each  $\rho_C$ .

Assume *P* is a graded poset of of rank *n* with rank function  $\rho$ . Given  $x \le y$  in P, let  $C = \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  be a maximal chain of *P* containing *x* and *y* such that  $x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n$ . Then, for some  $i, j \in [n] \cup \{0\}$  such that i < j, we have that  $x_i = x$  and  $x_j = y$ . This forces I(x, y) = j - i. Else wise, we would get that  $I(C) \ne n$ . But by Theorem 1, we know that  $\rho(y) = j$  and  $\rho(x) = i$ .

If P is a finite graded poset of rank n such that for each  $i \in [n] \cup \{0\}$ ,  $p_i$  is the number of elements of P of rank i, then the rank-generating function of P is the polynomial

$$F(p, x) := \sum_{i=0}^n p_i x^i.$$

Most of the posets we saw so far were graded. Examples - [n],  $B_n$ ,  $D_n$ , and  $\Pi_n$ .

# Some examples

Poset P	Rank of $x \in P$	Rank of <i>P</i>	F(P, x)
[ <i>n</i> ]	x-1	n-1	$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^i$
B <sub>n</sub>	<i>x</i>	п	$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} x^{i}$
D <sub>n</sub>	number of prime divisors of <i>x</i>	number of prime divisors of <i>n</i>	$F(B_n, x),$ if <i>n</i> is square free
$\Pi_n$	n -  x	n-1	$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S(n,n-i)x^i$

Where  $S(n, k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-i} {k \choose i} i^n$  is a Stirling number of the second kind.

# Definition 20 (Antichain)

An antichain is a subset A of a poset P such that any two distinct elements of A are not comparable.

# Definition 21 (Order ideal)

An order ideal of a poset P is a subset I of P such that if  $x \in I$  and  $y \leq x$ , then  $y \in I$ .

When  $|P| < \infty$ , there is a one-to-one correspondence between antichains A of P and order ideals I of P.

Given an antichain A, one can construct an order ideal I as follows:

$$I = \{x \in P : x \le y \text{ for some } y \in A\}.$$

Similarly, given an order ideal I, one can construct an antichain A as follows:  $A = \{x \in I : x \text{ is a maximal element of } I\}.$ 

(\*)

The set of all order ideals of *P*, ordered by inclusion, forms a poset which is denoted by J(P). If *I* and *A* are related as in (\*), then we say that *A* generates *I*. If  $A = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k\}$ , then we write  $I = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k \rangle$  for the order ideal generated by *A*.

The order ideal  $\langle x \rangle$  is the principal order ideal generated by x, denote  $\Lambda_x$ .



We shall now see some operations on posets that let us create new posets.



# Definition 22 (Direct sum)

If  $(P, \leq_P)$  and  $(Q, \leq_Q)$  are posets on disjoint sets, then the direct sum of P and Q is the poset P + Q defined on  $P \cup Q$  such that  $x \leq y$  in P + Q if either

- $2 x, y \in Q \text{ and } x \leq_Q y.$

A poset that is not (isomorphic to) a disjoint union of two nonempty posets is said to be connected.

Examples -

- [5] is connected.
- ② The subposet  $\{4, 6\}$  of  $D_{12}$  is not connected.  $\{4, 6\} \cong \{4\} + \{6\}$ .

The disjoint union of P with itself n times is denoted by nP. An n-element antichain is isomorphic to n[1].

# Definition 23 (Ordinal sum)

If P and Q are disjoint sets as above, then the ordinal sum of the posets P and Q, denoted by  $P \oplus Q$  is the poset defined on  $P \cup Q$  such that  $x \leq y$  in  $P \oplus Q$  if

 $x, y \in P \text{ and } x \leq_P y, \text{ or }$ 

$$\ \, {\bf 0} \ \, x \in P \ \, and \ \, y \in Q.$$

Hence, an *n*-element chain is isomorphic to  $[1] \oplus [1] \oplus \cdots \oplus [1]$ .

Posets that can be built up using disjoint union and ordinal sums from the poset [1] are called series-parallel posets.

• This is the only poset (up to isomorphism) with four elements that is not series-parallel.

If  $(P, \leq_P)$  and  $(Q, \leq_Q)$  are posets, then the direct product of P and Q is the poset  $P \times Q = (P \times Q, \leq_{P \times Q})$  such that  $x \leq_{P \times Q} y$  if  $x \leq_P x'$  and  $y \leq_Q y'$ .

The direct product of P with itself n times is denoted by  $P^n$ . To draw the Hasse diagram of  $P \times Q$ , (when P and Q are finite) we do the following:

- Draw the Hasse diagram of *P*.
- **2** Replace every element  $x \in P$  by a copy  $Q_x$  of Q.
- **③** Connect corresponding elements of  $Q_x$  and  $Q_y$  if x and y are connected in the Hasse diagram of P.

It is clear from the definition that  $P \times Q \cong Q \times P$ . However, using the above procedure, the Hasse diagrams may *look* completely different.

#### Theorem 3

If P and Q are graded with rank-generating functions F(P, x) and F(Q, x), then  $P \times Q$  is graded and  $F(P \times Q, x) = F(P, x)F(Q, x)$ .

Before proving this theorem, we shall first prove the following lemma:

#### Lemma 2

If both P and Q have finite lengths, then  $I(P \times Q) = I(P) + I(Q)$ .



#### Proof.

Assume P has length m and Q has length n. Given any arbitrary chains  $C = \{(x_0, y_0), \dots, (x_l, y_l)\}$  of  $P \times Q$  such that  $(x_0, y_0) <_{P \times Q} \dots <_{P \times Q} (x_l, y_l)$ , it follows that  $X = \{x_0, \ldots, x_l\}$  is a chain of P and  $Y = \{y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_l\}$  is a chain of Q. Note that for each  $i \in [I]$ ,  $(x_{i-1}, y_{i-1}) <_{P \times Q} (x_i, y_i)$  implies that  $x_{i-1} <_P x_i$  or  $y_{i-1} < Q y_i$ . Since l(P) = m, we get that  $x_{i-1} < x_i$  is true for at most m many elements in [/] and similarly  $y_{i-1} < y_i$  is true for at most *n* many elements. Thus, we get that l < m + n. Now, we actually produce a chain of length m + n. As P has length m, there exists a chain  $C_1 = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  of P such that  $x_0 <_P x_1 <_P \dots <_P x_m$ . Similarly, there exists a chain  $C_2 = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_m\}$  of Q such that  $y_0 <_Q y_1 <_Q \dots <_Q y_m$ . Then,  $C = \{(x_0, y_0), (x_0, y_1), \dots, (x_0, y_n), (x_1, y_n), \dots, (x_m, y_n)\}$  is a chain of  $P \times Q$  of length m + n.

Assume that P and Q are graded of rank m and n, respectively. By the previous lemma,  $P \times Q$  has rank m + n. Now we show that  $P \times Q$  is indeed graded. Let  $C = \{(x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_l, y_l)\}$  be an arbitrary maximal chain of  $P \times Q$  such that  $(x_0, y_0) <_{P \times Q} \cdots <_{P \times Q} (x_l, y_l)$ . If l < m + n, then there exists  $i \in [l]$  such that  $x_{i-1} <_P x_i$  and  $y_{i-1} <_Q y_i$ . (Use an argument similar to that used in the proof of the previous lemma.) But this implies that  $C \cup \{(x_{i-1}, y_i)\}$  is a chain, contradicting the maximality of C.

Thus, I(C) = m + n. As C was arbitrary,  $P \times Q$  is graded of rank m + n.

Now, we shall show the relation of rank-generating functions that was stated before.

# Proof of the theorem

Assume that the rank generating functions of P and Q are  $\sum_{i=0}^{m} p_i x^i$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} q_i x^i$ , respectively.

Let  $x \in P$  have rank k and  $y \in Q$  have rank l. We show that (x, y) has rank k + l. To see this, consider maximal chains  $X = \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$  and  $Y = \{y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$  of P and Q, respectively such that  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  and  $x_0 <_P x_1 <_P \cdots <_P x_m$  and  $y_0 <_Q y_1 <_Q < \cdots y_n$ . By Theorem 1, we have it that  $x = x_k$  and  $y = y_l$ . The chain

$$C = \{(x_0, y_0), \dots, (x_k, y_0), \dots, (x_k, y_l), \dots, (x_k, y_n), \dots, (x_m, y_n)\}$$

of  $P \times Q$  such that

$$\{(x_0, y_0) <_{P \times Q} (x_k, y_0) <_{P \times Q} (x_k, y_l) <_{P \times Q} (x_k, y_n), <_{P \times Q} (x_m, y_n)\}$$

in  $P \times Q$  has length m + n and so it is maximal. It follows again, by Theorem 1 that (x, y) has rank k + l. Thus, the number of elements of  $P \times Q$  of rank j is  $\sum_{i=0}^{j} p_i q_{j-i}$ , which is the coefficient of  $x^j$  in F(P, x)F(Q, x).

Ordinal product If  $(P, \leq_P)$  and  $(Q, \leq_Q)$  are posets, then the direct product of P and Q is the poset  $P \otimes Q = (P \times Q, \leq_{P \otimes Q})$  such that  $x \leq_{P \otimes Q} y$  if a x = x' and  $y \leq y'$ , or a x < x'.

We state the following theorem without proof:

#### Theorem 4

If P and Q are graded and Q has rank r, then

$$F(P \otimes Q, x) = F(p, x^{r+1})F(Q, x).$$

In general,  $P\otimes Q$  and  $Q\otimes P$  don't have the same rank-generating function. Thus, they are not isomorphic.

#### Definition 26 (Dual poset)

Let P be a poset. We denote by  $P^*$  the poset defined on the same set as that of P such that  $x \leq_{P^*} y \iff y \leq_P x$ .

If P and  $P^*$  are isomorphic, then P is said to be self-dual. There are eight posets (up to isomorphism) with 4 elements that are self-dual.

