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Proof?
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## Review

- The set of real numbers (/complex numbers/rational numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of natural numbers along with addition.
- The set of non-zero integers (/natural numbers) along with multiplication.
- The set of $2 \times 2$ real matrices along with multiplication.
- The set $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ with multiplication defined modulo $n$.
- $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with cross-product.
- Empty set with the empty operation.

Note that we need the set to be nonempty since it must always have the identity.

Recall vector spaces? Verify that any vector space along with its + forms a group.
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- " $G$ is a group under •," or
- " $G$ is a group" when • is clear from context.
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## Notations

Let $G$ be a group and $x \in G$. We define $x^{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ as follows:
$x^{0}:=e$.
For $n>0$, we define

$$
x^{n}:=\underbrace{x \cdot x \cdots x}_{n \text { times }} .
$$

For $n<0$, we have $x^{n}:=\left(x^{-1}\right)^{-n}$, which is the same as $\left(x^{-n}\right)^{-1}$. (Prove!)
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## Definition 5 (Order (element))

The order of an element $x \in G$ the smallest positiver integer $n$ such that

$$
x^{n}=e .
$$

(Where $e$ is the identity of $G$.)
If no such $n$ exists, then we say the the element has infinite order. It is denoted by $|x|$.
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## Proposition 1

Every element of a finite group has finite order.

## Proof.

Let $G$ be a finite group and let $x \in G$.
It suffices to show that $x^{n}=e$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Note that $x^{0}, x^{1}, \ldots, x^{|G|}$ are $|G|+1$ elements of $G$. By PHP, two of them must be equal. Thus,

$$
x^{n}=x^{m}
$$

for some $0 \leq n<m \leq|G|$.
The above equation gives us

$$
e=x^{m-n}
$$

Since $m-n \in \mathbb{N}$, we are done.

## Subgroups

What we shall see now is a recurring theme in mathematics.

## Subgroups

What we shall see now is a recurring theme in mathematics. Given a set with some certain properties, we look at subsets which have the same properties.

## Subgroups

What we shall see now is a recurring theme in mathematics.
Given a set with some certain properties, we look at subsets which have the same properties.
Where have you seen this before?

## Subgroups

What we shall see now is a recurring theme in mathematics.
Given a set with some certain properties, we look at subsets which have the same properties.
Where have you seen this before?
There are many examples:

- Subspaces of vector spaces,


## Subgroups

What we shall see now is a recurring theme in mathematics.
Given a set with some certain properties, we look at subsets which have the same properties.
Where have you seen this before?
There are many examples:

- Subspaces of vector spaces,
- Subgroups of groups,


## Subgroups

What we shall see now is a recurring theme in mathematics.
Given a set with some certain properties, we look at subsets which have the same properties.
Where have you seen this before?
There are many examples:

- Subspaces of vector spaces,
- Subgroups of groups,
- Subrings of rings,


## Subgroups

What we shall see now is a recurring theme in mathematics.
Given a set with some certain properties, we look at subsets which have the same properties.
Where have you seen this before?
There are many examples:

- Subspaces of vector spaces,
- Subgroups of groups,
- Subrings of rings,
- Subfields of fields,


## Subgroups

What we shall see now is a recurring theme in mathematics.
Given a set with some certain properties, we look at subsets which have the same properties.
Where have you seen this before?
There are many examples:

- Subspaces of vector spaces,
- Subgroups of groups,
- Subrings of rings,
- Subfields of fields,
- Subspaces of (metric/topological) spaces, et cetera.
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The idea is to find a subset of $G$ which can be regarded as a group in its own right. What group operation should we give it then?
Well, it is natural to consider the same operation as that of $G$.

## Definition 6 (Subgroup)

A subset $H \subset G$ is said to be subgroup of $G$ if:

- $H$ is nonempty.
- $a \cdot b \in H$ for all $a, b \in H$,
- $a^{-1} \in H$ for all $a \in H$.

The above conditions just tell us that • (restricted to $H$ ) is a binary operation on $H$ and that $\left(H,\left.\right|_{H}\right)$ forms a group.

One may note that the identity element of $(G, \cdot)$ is always present in $H$ and moreover, it is also the identity of $\left(H,\left.\cdot\right|_{H}\right)$.
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## Examples

Notation: If $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, then we write $H \leq G$.

- A group always has at least two subgroups. Can you tell which? (Well, not two if $G$ has only one element.)
- Is $\mathbb{N} \leq \mathbb{Z}$ ?
- Is $n \mathbb{Z} \leq \mathbb{Z}$ ? In fact, any subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}$ is of the form $n \mathbb{Z}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- $\mathbb{Z} \leq \mathbb{Q} \leq \mathbb{R} \leq \mathbb{C}$.
- The set of $n \times n$ invertible upper triangular (real) matrices is a subgroup of the group of all invertible $n \times n$ (real) matrices.
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Note that different elements could correspond to the same coset. That is, a coset may have different representatives. In fact, we now see precisely when that is possible.
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## Proposition 4 (Equality of cardinalities)

Given any coset $a H$, it has the same cardinality as $H$.
(That is, there is a bijection between $a H$ and $H$.)

## Proof.

Consider the function $f: H \rightarrow a H$ defined by

$$
f(h)=a \cdot h
$$

This is clearly onto, by definition of aH . Moreover, this is one-one since $a h=a h^{\prime} \Longrightarrow h=h^{\prime}$. (One can cancel a since it has an inverse.)

Remark. This shows that any two cosets have the same cardinality.
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Let $G$ be a finite group and $H \leq G$.
Then, $|H|$ divides $|G|$.

## Proof.

Consider the set of cosets $G / H=\left\{a_{1} H, \ldots, a_{n} H\right\}$.
Note that given any element $g \in G$, it must belong to some coset. (Why?)
Thus, $G=a_{1} H \cup \cdots \cup a_{n} H$.
Moreover, by our previous observation, the above union is of disjoint sets. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|G| & =\left|a_{1} H\right|+\cdots+\left|a_{n} H\right| \\
& =n|H| .
\end{aligned}
$$

That completes our proof.
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## Definition 8 (Homomorphism)

Let $(G, \cdot)$ and $(H, \star)$ be groups. A function

$$
\varphi: G \rightarrow H
$$

is said to be a group homomorphism if

$$
\varphi(a \cdot b)=\varphi(a) \star \varphi(b)
$$

for all $a, b \in G$.
One checks the following properties easily:

- $\varphi\left(e_{G}\right)=e_{H}$,
- $\varphi\left(a^{-1}\right)=(\varphi(a))^{-1}$ for all $a \in G$.


## Properties of homomorphisms

Now, we see some properties of homomorphisms themselves.

## Properties of homomorphisms

Now, we see some properties of homomorphisms themselves.

- Given any group $G$, the identity function $\mathrm{id}_{G}: G \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphism from $G$ to itself.

Now, we see some properties of homomorphisms themselves.

- Given any group $G$, the identity function $\mathrm{id}_{G}: G \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphism from $G$ to itself.
- Given homomorphisms

$$
G \xrightarrow{\varphi} H \xrightarrow{\psi} K,
$$

the composition $\psi \circ \varphi$ is a function from $G$ to $K$. Moreover, it is a homomorphism.

Now, we see some properties of homomorphisms themselves.

- Given any group $G$, the identity function $\mathrm{id}_{G}: G \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphism from $G$ to itself.
- Given homomorphisms

$$
G \xrightarrow{\varphi} H \xrightarrow{\psi} K,
$$

the composition $\psi \circ \varphi$ is a function from $G$ to $K$. Moreover, it is a homomorphism.
Said simply: composition of homomorphisms is again a homomorphism.

## Properties of homomorphisms

Now, we see some properties of homomorphisms themselves.

- Given any group $G$, the identity function $\operatorname{id}_{G}: G \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphism from $G$ to itself.
- Given homomorphisms

$$
G \xrightarrow{\varphi} H \xrightarrow{\psi} K,
$$

the composition $\psi \circ \varphi$ is a function from $G$ to $K$. Moreover, it is a homomorphism.
Said simply: composition of homomorphisms is again a homomorphism.

- With the same notation as above, we always have

$$
\operatorname{id}_{H} \circ \varphi=\varphi, \quad \psi \circ \mathrm{id}_{H}=\psi
$$

## Properties of homomorphisms

Now, we see some properties of homomorphisms themselves.

- Given any group $G$, the identity function $\mathrm{id}_{G}: G \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphism from $G$ to itself.
- Given homomorphisms

$$
G \xrightarrow{\varphi} H \xrightarrow{\psi} K,
$$

the composition $\psi \circ \varphi$ is a function from $G$ to $K$. Moreover, it is a homomorphism.
Said simply: composition of homomorphisms is again a homomorphism.

- With the same notation as above, we always have

$$
\mathrm{id}_{H} \circ \varphi=\varphi, \quad \psi \circ \mathrm{id}_{H}=\psi .
$$

Go look up what a Category is.
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Now, we see some properties of homomorphisms themselves.

- Given any group $G$, the identity function $\mathrm{id}_{G}: G \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphism from $G$ to itself.
- Given homomorphisms

$$
G \xrightarrow{\varphi} H \xrightarrow{\psi} K,
$$

the composition $\psi \circ \varphi$ is a function from $G$ to $K$. Moreover, it is a homomorphism.
Said simply: composition of homomorphisms is again a homomorphism.

- With the same notation as above, we always have

$$
\mathrm{id}_{H} \circ \varphi=\varphi, \quad \psi \circ \mathrm{id}_{H}=\psi .
$$

Go look up what a Category is. (In the context of Category Theory.)
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Let $\mathbb{R}^{\times}$denote the group of nonzero real numbers under $\cdot$. Similarly, we have $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$and $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$.

- The map $\exp : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\times}$defined by

$$
\exp (x)=e^{x}
$$

is a homomorphism because

$$
\exp (x+y)=e^{x} \cdot e^{y}=\exp (x) \cdot \exp (y)
$$

- In the same way, the map exp : $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$is a group homomorphism. In fact, this is surjective.
- Given any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined as

$$
\varphi(z)=n z
$$

is a homomorphism.

- In general, if $G$ is an abelian group and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map $x \mapsto x^{n}$ is a homomorphism.
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This idea can formalised as follows.
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## Definition 10 (Isomorphic)

Two groups $G$ and $H$ are said to be isomorphic if there exists a group isomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$.
In such a case, we write $G \cong H$.
One can note that $\cong$ is an "equivalence relation".
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## Proposition 5

With the same notations as above, we have

$$
\operatorname{ker} \varphi \leq G
$$
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This can be written in yet another way as $a K=K a$.
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Now, suppose that $a, a^{\prime}, b, b^{\prime} \in G$ are elements such that $a K=a^{\prime} K$ and $b K=b^{\prime} K$.
Then, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
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Let us keep this in mind for now. We shall come back to it later. Note that the only property we used was that $g K=K g$ and not really that $K$ was a kernel.
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## Solving the problem :)

Well, what does that remind us of? That was a property kernels of homomorphisms have!
In fact, what we saw was that all we need is that $\mathrm{gH}=\mathrm{Hg}$ (for all $g \in G)$ and then, we always have the desired property.

Note that we have shown that if $\mathrm{gH}=\mathrm{Hg}$, then the multiplication is well-defined.
In fact, the converse is true as well. That is, if

$$
a H=a^{\prime} H, b H=b^{\prime} H \Longrightarrow(a b) H=\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right) H
$$

then $H$ must satisfy $g H=H g$.
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## Definition 12 (Normal subgroup)

A subgroup $N$ of a group $G$ is said to be normal if

$$
g N=N g
$$

for all $g \in G$.
Said differently, we must have $g N g^{-1}=N$ for all $g \in G$. Said even more differently, given any $n \in N$, and $g \in G$, we must have $g n g^{-1} \in N$.
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## Definition 13 (Quotient group)

Let $G$ be a group and $N$ be a normal subgroup of $G$. Then, the set of cosets $G / N$ is a group under the operation defined by

$$
(a N)(b N):=(a b) N
$$

which is well-defined in view of our previous discussion.
We sometimes use the notation $\bar{g}$ to denote the coset $g H$. (When $H$ is clear from context.)
Another thing to note is that any subgroup of an abelian group is normal.
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As an example, one of the cosets of $5 \mathbb{Z}$ is

$$
2+5 \mathbb{Z}=\{\ldots,-8,-3,2,7,12, \ldots\}
$$

The set of cosets is $\{\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}\}$. The addition (as an example) is like

$$
\overline{1}+\overline{2}=\overline{3}, \quad \overline{3}+\overline{4}=\overline{2}, \quad \overline{2}+\overline{3}=\overline{0}
$$

Basically, this is just addition modulo 5.
The above group is what is called $\mathbb{Z} / 5 \mathbb{Z}$. Of course, this works for all values of 5 .
In fact, this is the group (up to isomorphism) which we saw earlier as $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ with addition modulo $n$.

## Exercises

1. Let $(G, \cdot)$ be a finite group and let $x \in G$. Show that $H=\left\{1, x, x^{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is a (finite) subgroup of $G$.

Show that $H$ has order $|x|$.
Conclude that $|x|$ divides $|G|$.
In particular, we have $x^{|G|}=e$.

## Exercises

2. Let $n>1$ be a natural number. Define

$$
(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}=\{x: 1 \leq x \leq n, \operatorname{gcd}(x, n)=1\} .
$$

Show that $\left|(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}\right|=\varphi(n)$, where $\varphi$ is the Euler totient function.
Show that $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ is a group under the operation "multiplication $\bmod n "$ with identity being 1 .

Conclude that $a^{\varphi(n)}=1$ for all $a \in(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$. (Note that this equality is in the group $(\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z})^{*}$.)

Conclude that $a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1 \bmod n$ for all $a$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1$.
This is Euler's theorem (in number theory) and Fermat's little theorem is a special case of it.
3. Let $G$ be a finite group and let $p$ be a prime dividing $|G|$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the set of $p$-tuples of elements of $G$ the product of whose coordinates is 1 :

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right): x_{i} \in G \text { and } x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{p}=1\right\}
$$

(a) Show that $\mathcal{S}$ has $|G|^{p-1}$ elements, hence has order divisible by $p$.

Define the relation $\sim$ on $\mathcal{S}$ be letting $\alpha \sim \beta$ if $\beta$ is a cyclic permutation of $\alpha$.
(b) Show that a cyclic permutation of an element of $\mathcal{S}$ is again an element of $\mathcal{S}$.
(c) Prove that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{S}$.
(d) Prove that an equivalence class contains a single element if and only if it is of the form $(x, \ldots, x)$ with $x^{p}=1$.

## Exercises

(e) Prove that every equivalence class has order 1 or $p$ (this uses the fact that $p$ is a prime). Deduce that $|G|^{p-1}=k+p d$ where $k$ is the number of classes of size 1 and $d$ is the number of classes of size $p$.
(f) Since $\{(1, \ldots, 1)\}$ is an equivalence class of size 1 , conclude from (e) that there must be a nonidentity element $x$ in $G$ with $x^{p}=1$, i.e., $G$ contains an element of order $P$. (Show $p \mid k$ and so $k>1$.)

The previous exercise proves the following theorem.

## Theorem 2 (Cauchy's Theorem)

If $G$ is a finite group and $p||G|$, then there exists $x \in G$ such that $p=|x|$.

With $H=\{1, x, \ldots\}$ as in Exercise 1, this shows that there exists a subgroup of order $p$.
This is a partial converse to Lagrange's theorem (and the statement shown in Exercise 1).
(And that's the best we can get.)
Credits: The above style of proof was published in Amer. Math. Monthly, 66 (1959), p. 199 by James McKay. The above exercise has been taken from Abstract Algebra by Dummit and Foote.
4. Let $n \geq 1$ be a natural number and define

$$
[n]=\{1, \ldots, n\} .
$$

Let $S_{n}$ denote the set of all bijections from [ $n$ ] to $[n]$.
Let $\circ$ denote the usual composition operation of functions.
(a) Show that $\circ$ is a binary operation on $S_{n}$.
(b) Show that $S_{n}$ is a group under $\circ$.

This is known as the symmetric group on $n$ elements.
This is a very common example of a (family of) group.
(c) Show that $S_{n}$ is abelian if and only if $n \leq 2$.

A remarkable theorem called Cayley's theorem says that any (finite) group is isomorphic to a subgroup of $S_{n}$ for some $n$.

