

#### Aryaman Maithani

https://aryamanmaithani.github.io/tuts/ma-108

**IIT** Bombay

Spring 2022

Aryaman Maithani (IIT Bombay)

ODEs TSC

Spring 2022 1 / 47

∃ >

# Table of Contents

#### Basics

- 2 Specific (JEE) ODEs
- 3 Exact ODEs
- 4 IVP
- 5 Linear ODEs
- 6 Specific second order linear ODEs
- *n*-th order linear ODE
- 8 Laplace transform

- 4 ∃ ▶



We know what an ODE is.

メロト メポト メヨト メヨト

We know what an ODE is. The order of an ODE is the order of the highest derivative in the equation.

Image: A math a math

We know what an ODE is. The order of an ODE is the order of the highest derivative in the equation.

$$\sin\left(\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}\right) = \left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^3 \text{ has order } \underline{\qquad}.$$

Image: A math a math

We know what an ODE is. The order of an ODE is the order of the highest derivative in the equation.

$$\sin\left(\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}\right) = \left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)^3 \text{ has order } \underline{\qquad}.$$

The ODE is said to be linear if it of the form

$$a_n(x)y^{(n)}(x) + \cdots + a_0(x)y = b(x)$$

for some  $n \ge 0$  and functions  $a_0, \ldots, a_n, b$  of x.

Consider the ODE to be given as

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

Image: A matrix

- 3 ► ►

Consider the ODE to be given as

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

For example, y' = -x/y.

Image: Image:

→ ∃ ▶

Consider the ODE to be given as

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

For example, y' = -x/y.

An explicit solution of the above ODE on an interval I is a function  $\phi$  defined on I

Consider the ODE to be given as

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

For example, y' = -x/y.

An explicit solution of the above ODE on an interval I is a function  $\phi$  defined on I such that

$$\phi^{(n)}(x) = f(x,\phi(x),\ldots,\phi^{(n-1)}(x))$$

for all  $x \in I$ .

Consider the ODE to be given as

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

For example, y' = -x/y.

An explicit solution of the above ODE on an interval I is a function  $\phi$  defined on I such that

$$\phi^{(n)}(x) = f(x, \phi(x), \dots, \phi^{(n-1)}(x))$$

for all  $x \in I$ . Example:  $\phi(x) = \sqrt{25 - x^2}$  on the interval (-5, 5).

Consider the ODE to be given as

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

For example, y' = -x/y.

An explicit solution of the above ODE on an interval I is a function  $\phi$  defined on I such that

$$\phi^{(n)}(x) = f(x, \phi(x), \dots, \phi^{(n-1)}(x))$$

for all  $x \in I$ . Example:  $\phi(x) = \sqrt{25 - x^2}$  on the interval (-5, 5). An implicit solution is a relation g(x, y) = 0

Consider the ODE to be given as

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

For example, y' = -x/y.

An explicit solution of the above ODE on an interval I is a function  $\phi$  defined on I such that

$$\phi^{(n)}(x) = f(x,\phi(x),\ldots,\phi^{(n-1)}(x))$$

for all  $x \in I$ . Example:  $\phi(x) = \sqrt{25 - x^2}$  on the interval (-5, 5).

An implicit solution is a relation g(x, y) = 0 if this relation defines at least one function  $\phi$  which is an explicit solution on some nonempty interval.

Consider the ODE to be given as

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}).$$

For example, y' = -x/y.

An explicit solution of the above ODE on an interval I is a function  $\phi$  defined on I such that

$$\phi^{(n)}(x) = f(x, \phi(x), \dots, \phi^{(n-1)}(x))$$

for all  $x \in I$ . Example:  $\phi(x) = \sqrt{25 - x^2}$  on the interval (-5, 5).

An implicit solution is a relation g(x, y) = 0 if this relation defines at least one function  $\phi$  which is an explicit solution on some nonempty interval. Example:  $x^2 + y^2 = 25$ .

First, differentiate the above and eliminate the parameter  $\lambda$ .

First, differentiate the above and eliminate the parameter  $\lambda$ . This will now give you an equation involving x, y, y'.

First, differentiate the above and eliminate the parameter  $\lambda$ . This will now give you an equation involving x, y, y'. Replace y' with -1/y'.

First, differentiate the above and eliminate the parameter  $\lambda$ . This will now give you an equation involving x, y, y'. Replace y' with -1/y'. Solving this ODE now gives you the family of orthogonal trajectories.

First, differentiate the above and eliminate the parameter  $\lambda$ . This will now give you an equation involving x, y, y'. Replace y' with -1/y'. Solving this ODE now gives you the family of orthogonal trajectories.

Example:  $x^2 + y^2 = \lambda^2$ .

First, differentiate the above and eliminate the parameter  $\lambda$ . This will now give you an equation involving x, y, y'. Replace y' with -1/y'. Solving this ODE now gives you the family of orthogonal trajectories.

Example:  $x^2 + y^2 = \lambda^2$ . Differentiating gives x + yy' = 0.

First, differentiate the above and eliminate the parameter  $\lambda$ . This will now give you an equation involving x, y, y'. Replace y' with -1/y'. Solving this ODE now gives you the family of orthogonal trajectories.

Example:  $x^2 + y^2 = \lambda^2$ . Differentiating gives x + yy' = 0. Replacing y with -1/y' gives

$$xy' = y$$
.

First, differentiate the above and eliminate the parameter  $\lambda$ . This will now give you an equation involving x, y, y'. Replace y' with -1/y'. Solving this ODE now gives you the family of orthogonal trajectories.

Example:  $x^2 + y^2 = \lambda^2$ . Differentiating gives x + yy' = 0. Replacing y with -1/y' gives

$$xy' = y$$
.

Solving it gives y = cx ( $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ) as the family of orthogonal trajectories.

<ロト <部ト <注入 < 注入 = 二 =

# Table of Contents

#### Basics

- 2 Specific (JEE) ODEs
- 3 Exact ODEs
- 4 IVP
- 5 Linear ODEs
- 6 Specific second order linear ODEs
- *n*-th order linear ODE
- 8 Laplace transform

< ∃ ►

$$M(x) + N(y)y' = 0$$

is called a separable ODE.

$$M(x) + N(y)y' = 0$$

is called a separable ODE. It may also be suggestively written as

M(x)dx + N(y)dy = 0.

$$M(x) + N(y)y' = 0$$

is called a separable ODE. It may also be suggestively written as

$$M(x)dx + N(y)dy = 0.$$

The above is solved by "simply integrating".

$$M(x) + N(y)y' = 0$$

is called a separable ODE. It may also be suggestively written as

M(x)dx + N(y)dy = 0.

The above is solved by "simply integrating". More precisely, if  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are functions such that  $H'_1(x) = M(x)$  and  $H'_2(y) = N(y)$ ,

$$M(x) + N(y)y' = 0$$

is called a separable ODE. It may also be suggestively written as

$$M(x)dx + N(y)dy = 0.$$

The above is solved by "simply integrating". More precisely, if  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are functions such that  $H'_1(x) = M(x)$  and  $H'_2(y) = N(y)$ , then the general solution is

$$H_1(x) + H_2(y) = c$$

for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$f(tx_1,\ldots,tx_n)=t^d f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

for all  $t \neq 0$ .

$$f(tx_1,\ldots,tx_n)=t^d f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

for all  $t \neq 0$ . Examples:  $f(x, y) = (x - y)^2 + xy$ ,

$$f(tx_1,\ldots,tx_n)=t^d f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

for all  $t \neq 0$ . Examples:  $f(x, y) = (x - y)^2 + xy$ ,  $f(x, y) = y^2 + x^2 \exp(x/y)$ .

$$f(tx_1,\ldots,tx_n)=t^d f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

for all  $t \neq 0$ . Examples:  $f(x, y) = (x - y)^2 + xy$ ,  $f(x, y) = y^2 + x^2 \exp(x/y)$ .

#### Definition 1

The first order ODE

$$M(x,y) + N(x,y)y' = 0$$

is called homogeneous if M and N are homogeneous of equal degree.

$$f(tx_1,\ldots,tx_n)=t^d f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

for all  $t \neq 0$ . Examples:  $f(x, y) = (x - y)^2 + xy$ ,  $f(x, y) = y^2 + x^2 \exp(x/y)$ .

#### Definition 1

The first order ODE

$$M(x,y) + N(x,y)y' = 0$$

is called homogeneous if M and N are homogeneous of equal degree.

To solve: put y = xv and things "magically" fall in place by becoming a separable ODE in v.

(4) (3) (4) (4) (4)

# Table of Contents

#### Basics

- 2 Specific (JEE) ODEs
- 3 Exact ODEs
- 4 IVP
- 5 Linear ODEs
- 6 Specific second order linear ODEs
- *n*-th order linear ODE
- 8 Laplace transform

< ∃ ►
### Definition 2

A first order ODE

$$M(x,y) + N(x,y)y' = 0$$

is called exact

• • • • • • • • • • • •

### Definition 2

A first order ODE

$$M(x,y) + N(x,y)y' = 0$$

is called exact if there exists a function u(x, y) such that

$$u_x = M$$
 and  $u_y = N$ .

< ∃ ►

### Definition 2

A first order ODE

$$M(x,y) + N(x,y)y' = 0$$

is called exact if there exists a function u(x, y) such that

$$u_x = M$$
 and  $u_y = N$ .

The general solution to the above ODE is then u(x, y) = c for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

### Definition 2

A first order ODE

$$M(x,y) + N(x,y)y' = 0$$

is called exact if there exists a function u(x, y) such that

$$u_x = M$$
 and  $u_y = N$ .

The general solution to the above ODE is then u(x, y) = c for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

A necessary condition for the ODE to be exact is  $M_y = N_x$ .

### Definition 2

A first order ODE

$$M(x,y) + N(x,y)y' = 0$$

is called exact if there exists a function u(x, y) such that

$$u_x = M$$
 and  $u_y = N$ .

The general solution to the above ODE is then u(x, y) = c for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

A necessary condition for the ODE to be exact is  $M_y = N_x$ .

The above is *also* <u>sufficient</u> if the domain is "nice": for example, if the domain is convex.

### Definition 2

### A first order ODE

$$M(x,y) + N(x,y)y' = 0$$

is called exact if there exists a function u(x, y) such that

$$u_x = M$$
 and  $u_y = N$ .

The general solution to the above ODE is then u(x, y) = c for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

A necessary condition for the ODE to be exact is  $M_y = N_x$ .

The above is *also* <u>sufficient</u> if the domain is "nice": for example, if the domain is convex. (More generally, it suffices for the domain to be simply-connected, if you still remember what that means.)

The question is: how to find u?

メロト メロト メヨトメ

The question is: how to find u? This is simple, just go by instincts.

Image: A match a ma

The question is: how to find *u*? This is simple, just go by instincts. You know that  $u_x(x, y) = M(x, y)$ .

Image: Image:

. . . . . .

The question is: how to find u? This is simple, just go by instincts.

You know that  $u_x(x, y) = M(x, y)$ . So, integrate M with respect to x.

- 4 ∃ ▶

The question is: how to find *u*? This is simple, just go by instincts.

You know that  $u_x(x, y) = M(x, y)$ . So, integrate M with respect to x. Remember that the arbitrary constant you add will be a function of y now.

The question is: how to find *u*? This is simple, just go by instincts.

You know that  $u_x(x, y) = M(x, y)$ . So, integrate M with respect to x. Remember that the arbitrary constant you add will be a function of y now. This will leave you with something like

$$u(x,y)=\int M(x,y)dx+k(y).$$

The question is: how to find u? This is simple, just go by instincts.

You know that  $u_x(x, y) = M(x, y)$ . So, integrate M with respect to x. Remember that the arbitrary constant you add will be a function of y now. This will leave you with something like

$$u(x,y)=\int M(x,y)dx+k(y).$$

Now, differentiate the above with respect to y and equate it to N(x, y).

The question is: how to find *u*? This is simple, just go by instincts.

You know that  $u_x(x, y) = M(x, y)$ . So, integrate M with respect to x. Remember that the arbitrary constant you add will be a function of y now. This will leave you with something like

$$u(x,y)=\int M(x,y)dx+k(y).$$

Now, differentiate the above with respect to y and equate it to N(x, y). Things will "magically" get cancelled and you will be left with

The question is: how to find *u*? This is simple, just go by instincts.

You know that  $u_x(x, y) = M(x, y)$ . So, integrate M with respect to x. Remember that the arbitrary constant you add will be a function of y now. This will leave you with something like

$$u(x,y)=\int M(x,y)dx+k(y).$$

Now, differentiate the above with respect to y and equate it to N(x, y). Things will "magically" get cancelled and you will be left with

$$k'(y) =$$
 some function of  $y$ .

The question is: how to find u? This is simple, just go by instincts.

You know that  $u_x(x, y) = M(x, y)$ . So, integrate M with respect to x. Remember that the arbitrary constant you add will be a function of y now. This will leave you with something like

$$u(x,y)=\int M(x,y)dx+k(y).$$

Now, differentiate the above with respect to y and equate it to N(x, y). Things will "magically" get cancelled and you will be left with

$$k'(y) =$$
 some function of  $y$ .

Just integrate the above to get k(y) and in turn, get u(x, y).

### Sometimes, the ODE M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0 may not be exact.

Image: A math the state of t

Sometimes, the ODE M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0 may not be exact. To combat this, we try to find an integrating factor,

Sometimes, the ODE M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0 may not be exact. To combat this, we try to find an integrating factor,  $\mu(x, y)$ ,

Image: Image:

Sometimes, the ODE M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0 may not be exact. To combat this, we try to find an integrating factor,  $\mu(x, y)$ , such that the equation

 $\mu M dx + \mu N dy = 0$ 

is exact.

Sometimes, the ODE M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0 may not be exact. To combat this, we try to find an integrating factor,  $\mu(x, y)$ , such that the equation

 $\mu M dx + \mu N dy = 0$ 

is exact. The above gives us the equation

$$\mu_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{M} + \mu\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{y}} = \mu_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{N} + \mu\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

Sometimes, the ODE M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0 may not be exact. To combat this, we try to find an integrating factor,  $\mu(x, y)$ , such that the equation

 $\mu M dx + \mu N dy = 0$ 

is exact. The above gives us the equation

$$\mu_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{M} + \mu\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{y}} = \mu_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{N} + \mu\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

Now, we typically assume either  $\mu_y = 0$  (or  $\mu_x = 0$ ) and hope that the remaining terms cancel out nicely in a way that we are actually left with  $\mu_x/\mu$  being only a function of x (or the other way around).

Sometimes, the ODE M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0 may not be exact. To combat this, we try to find an integrating factor,  $\mu(x, y)$ , such that the equation

 $\mu M dx + \mu N dy = 0$ 

is exact. The above gives us the equation

$$\mu_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{M} + \mu\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{y}} = \mu_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{N} + \mu\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

Now, we typically assume either  $\mu_y = 0$  (or  $\mu_x = 0$ ) and hope that the remaining terms cancel out nicely in a way that we are actually left with  $\mu_x/\mu$  being only a function of x (or the other way around). More precisely, if  $\frac{M_y - N_x}{N}$  is a function of x,

Sometimes, the ODE M(x, y)dx + N(x, y)dy = 0 may not be exact. To combat this, we try to find an integrating factor,  $\mu(x, y)$ , such that the equation

 $\mu M dx + \mu N dy = 0$ 

is exact. The above gives us the equation

$$\mu_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbf{M} + \mu\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{y}} = \mu_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{N} + \mu\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

Now, we typically assume either  $\mu_y = 0$  (or  $\mu_x = 0$ ) and hope that the remaining terms cancel out nicely in a way that we are actually left with  $\mu_x/\mu$  being only a function of x (or the other way around). More precisely, if  $\frac{M_y-N_x}{N}$  is a function of x, then we have an integrating factor  $\mu$  given by

$$\mu = \exp\left(\int \frac{M_y - N_x}{N} dx\right).$$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

# Table of Contents

#### Basics

- 2 Specific (JEE) ODEs
- 3 Exact ODEs



- 5 Linear ODEs
- 6 Specific second order linear ODEs
- *n*-th order linear ODE
- 8 Laplace transform

→ ∃ ▶

### Definition 3

An initial value problem (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$
 (1)

∃ >

### Definition 3

An initial value problem (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$
 (1)

We now see a condition telling us when the above has a solution.

### Definition 3

An initial value problem (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$
 (1)

We now see a condition telling us when the above has a solution.

Theorem 4 (Existence)

Let R be a rectangle of the form  $(x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$ .

→ ∃ →

### Definition 3

An initial value problem (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$
 (1)

We now see a condition telling us when the above has a solution.

Theorem 4 (Existence)

Let *R* be a rectangle of the form  $(x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$ . Suppose that *f* is continuous and bounded on *R*,

### Definition 3

An initial value problem (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$
 (1)

We now see a condition telling us when the above has a solution.

Theorem 4 (Existence)

Let *R* be a rectangle of the form  $(x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$ . Suppose that *f* is continuous and bounded on *R*, say  $|f(x, y)| \leq K$  for all  $(x, y) \in R$ .

### Definition 3

An initial value problem (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$
 (1)

We now see a condition telling us when the above has a solution.

Theorem 4 (Existence)

Let *R* be a rectangle of the form  $(x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$ . Suppose that *f* is continuous and bounded on *R*, say  $|f(x, y)| \leq K$  for all  $(x, y) \in R$ . Then, (1) has an explicit solution defined on  $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ ,

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

### Definition 3

An initial value problem (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$
 (1)

We now see a condition telling us when the above has a solution.

Theorem 4 (Existence)

Let *R* be a rectangle of the form  $(x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$ . Suppose that *f* is continuous and bounded on *R*, say  $|f(x, y)| \leq K$  for all  $(x, y) \in R$ . Then, (1) has an explicit solution defined on  $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ , where  $\delta := \min\{a, b/K\}$ .

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

### Definition 3

An initial value problem (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$
 (1)

We now see a condition telling us when the above has a solution.

Theorem 4 (Existence)

Let *R* be a rectangle of the form  $(x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$ . Suppose that *f* is continuous and bounded on *R*, say  $|f(x, y)| \leq K$  for all  $(x, y) \in R$ . Then, (1) has an explicit solution defined on  $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ , where  $\delta := \min\{a, b/K\}$ .

Note that a solution may exist on a larger interval.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

### Definition 3

An initial value problem (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$
 (1)

We now see a condition telling us when the above has a solution.

Theorem 4 (Existence)

Let *R* be a rectangle of the form  $(x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$ . Suppose that *f* is continuous and bounded on *R*, say  $|f(x, y)| \leq K$  for all  $(x, y) \in R$ . Then, (1) has an explicit solution defined on  $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ , where  $\delta := \min\{a, b/K\}$ .

Note that a solution *may* exist on a larger interval. Furthermore, there may be multiple solutions on that given interval itself.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

### Definition 3

An initial value problem (IVP) is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$
 (1)

We now see a condition telling us when the above has a solution.

Theorem 4 (Existence)

Let *R* be a rectangle of the form  $(x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$ . Suppose that *f* is continuous and bounded on *R*, say  $|f(x, y)| \leq K$  for all  $(x, y) \in R$ . Then, (1) has an explicit solution defined on  $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ , where  $\delta := \min\{a, b/K\}$ .

Note that a solution *may* exist on a larger interval. Furthermore, there may be multiple solutions on that given interval itself. We now see when the solution is unique.

Aryaman Maithani (IIT Bombay)

### Let f be a function of one variable defined on some interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .

Image: Image:

→ ∃ →
Let f be a function of one variable defined on some interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . f is said to be Lipschitz continuous

$$|f(x_1)-f(x_2)|\leqslant L|x_1-x_2|$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in I$ .

$$|f(x_1)-f(x_2)|\leqslant L|x_1-x_2|$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in I$ .

Now, if f is a function of two variables defined on some  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$|f(x_1)-f(x_2)|\leqslant L|x_1-x_2|$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in I$ .

Now, if f is a function of two variables defined on some  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ , then we say that f is Lipschitz continuous with respect to y if

$$|f(x_1)-f(x_2)|\leqslant L|x_1-x_2|$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in I$ .

Now, if f is a function of two variables defined on some  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ , then we say that f is Lipschitz continuous with respect to y if there exists some  $L \ge 0$ 

$$|f(x_1)-f(x_2)|\leqslant L|x_1-x_2|$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in I$ .

Now, if f is a function of two variables defined on some  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ , then we say that f is Lipschitz continuous with respect to y if there exists some  $L \ge 0$  such that

$$|f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)| \leq L|y_1 - y_2|$$

for all  $(x, y_1), (x, y_2) \in D$ .

$$|f(x_1)-f(x_2)|\leqslant L|x_1-x_2|$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in I$ .

Now, if f is a function of two variables defined on some  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ , then we say that f is Lipschitz continuous with respect to y if there exists some  $L \ge 0$  such that

$$|f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)| \leq L|y_1 - y_2|$$

for all  $(x, y_1), (x, y_2) \in D$ .

Any Lipschitz continuous function (of one variable) is continuous.

Any Lipschitz continuous function (of one variable) is continuous. Consequently, if f is Lipschitz continuous with respect to y, then for every fixed x, the function f(x, y) is a continuous in y.

$$f(x,y) = \lfloor x \rfloor + y$$

is Lipschitz continuous in y but f(x, 1) is not continuous function.

$$f(x,y) = \lfloor x \rfloor + y$$

is Lipschitz continuous in y but f(x, 1) is not continuous function.

If f is a differentiable function of one variable with f' bounded, then f is Lipschitz.

$$f(x,y) = \lfloor x \rfloor + y$$

is Lipschitz continuous in y but f(x, 1) is not continuous function.

If f is a differentiable function of one variable with f' bounded, then f is Lipschitz. Consequently, if f is a function of two variables with  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$  bounded, then f is Lipschitz with respect to y.

$$f(x,y) = \lfloor x \rfloor + y$$

is Lipschitz continuous in y but f(x, 1) is not continuous function.

If f is a differentiable function of one variable with f' bounded, then f is Lipschitz. Consequently, if f is a function of two variables with  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$  bounded, then f is Lipschitz with respect to y.

An non-example of Lipschitz function (in y) is:  $f(x, y) = \sqrt{|y|}$  defined on  $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$ .

$$f(x,y) = \lfloor x \rfloor + y$$

is Lipschitz continuous in y but f(x, 1) is not continuous function.

If f is a differentiable function of one variable with f' bounded, then f is Lipschitz. Consequently, if f is a function of two variables with  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$  bounded, then f is Lipschitz with respect to y.

An non-example of Lipschitz function (in y) is:  $f(x, y) = \sqrt{|y|}$  defined on  $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$ . Similarly,  $f(x, y) = y^2$  is not Lipschitz w.r.t. y on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  but is so on bounded domains.

イロト イヨト イヨト

Suppose that we have the IVP

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$

< ∃ ▶

Suppose that we have the IVP

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$

As before, suppose f is continuous on  $R = (x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$  and bounded by K.

Suppose that we have the IVP

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$

As before, suppose f is continuous on  $R = (x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$  and bounded by K. We already saw that the above IVP has a solution defined on  $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ .

Suppose that we have the IVP

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$

As before, suppose f is continuous on  $R = (x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$  and bounded by K. We already saw that the above IVP has a solution defined on  $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ . Furthermore, if f also satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to y on R,

Suppose that we have the IVP

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$

As before, suppose f is continuous on  $R = (x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$  and bounded by K. We already saw that the above IVP has a solution defined on  $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ . Furthermore, if f also satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to y on R, then the solution is *unique* on that interval.

Suppose that we have the IVP

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$

As before, suppose f is continuous on  $R = (x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$  and bounded by K. We already saw that the above IVP has a solution defined on  $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ . Furthermore, if f also satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to y on R, then the solution is *unique* on that interval.

As before, there may a solution on a larger interval.

Suppose that we have the IVP

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0.$$

As before, suppose f is continuous on  $R = (x_0 - a, x_0 + a) \times (y_0 - b, y_0 + b)$  and bounded by K. We already saw that the above IVP has a solution defined on  $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ . Furthermore, if f also satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to y on R, then the solution is *unique* on that interval.

As before, there may a solution on a larger interval. Moreover, there may still be a larger interval where the solution is unique.

As before, suppose we have the IVP:  $y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0$ .

→ < ∃ →</p>

As before, suppose we have the IVP:  $y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0$ .

The above differential equation is equivalent to solving the integral equation

$$y(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y(t)) dt.$$

As before, suppose we have the IVP:  $y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0$ .

The above differential equation is equivalent to solving the integral equation

$$y(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y(t)) dt.$$

We define the Picard's iterates recursively as

As before, suppose we have the IVP:  $y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0$ .

The above differential equation is equivalent to solving the integral equation

$$y(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y(t)) dt.$$

We define the Picard's iterates recursively as

$$y_0(x) := y_0,$$
  
 $y_{n+1}(x) := y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y_n(t)) dt.$ 

As before, suppose we have the IVP:  $y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0$ .

The above differential equation is equivalent to solving the integral equation

$$y(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y(t)) dt.$$

We define the Picard's iterates recursively as

$$y_0(x) := y_0,$$
  
 $y_{n+1}(x) := y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x f(t, y_n(t)) dt.$ 

Under the assumptions of the existence-uniqueness theorem, the above converges to the solution y of the IVP defined by  $y(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n(x)$ .

# Table of Contents

#### Basics

- 2 Specific (JEE) ODEs
- 3 Exact ODEs
- 4 IVP
- 5 Linear ODEs
- 6 Specific second order linear ODEs
- *n*-th order linear ODE
- 8 Laplace transform

→ ∃ ▶

We had seen what a linear ODE was.

< ∃ >

$$y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0(x)y = b(x).$$

$$y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0(x)y = b(x).$$

For example, xy' - 10y = 0 is *not* in standard form.

$$y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0(x)y = b(x).$$

For example, xy' - 10y = 0 is *not* in standard form. However, if we are interested in solving the ODE on  $(0, \infty)$ , then we can put it in standard form as

$$y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0(x)y = b(x).$$

For example, xy' - 10y = 0 is *not* in standard form. However, if we are interested in solving the ODE on  $(0, \infty)$ , then we can put it in standard form as  $y' - \frac{10}{x}y = 0$ .

$$y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0(x)y = b(x).$$

For example, xy' - 10y = 0 is *not* in standard form. However, if we are interested in solving the ODE on  $(0, \infty)$ , then we can put it in standard form as  $y' - \frac{10}{x}y = 0$ .

#### Disclaimer

Our results will always assume that the ODE is in standard form. This is crucial.

The standard ODE is said to be homogeneous if b(x) = 0, i.e., it is of the form

$$y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0(x)y = 0.$$

∃ >
The standard ODE is said to be homogeneous if b(x) = 0, i.e., it is of the form

$$y^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0(x)y = 0.$$

From now on, "homogeneous" will refer to the above, not the one we had defined earlier.

A first order linear ODE is particular simple,

Image: A matrix

- **→** ∃ →

A first order linear ODE is particular simple, it is of the form

$$y'+P(x)y=Q(x).$$

Image: Image:

- 4 ∃ ▶

A first order linear ODE is particular simple, it is of the form

$$y'+P(x)y=Q(x).$$

The above can be solved by multiplying with the integrating factor

$$\mu(x) := \exp\left(\int_{x_0}^x P(t) \, dt\right).$$

A first order linear ODE is particular simple, it is of the form

$$y'+P(x)y=Q(x).$$

The above can be solved by multiplying with the integrating factor

$$\mu(x) := \exp\left(\int_{x_0}^x P(t) \, dt\right).$$

The final solution is also explicitly given by

$$y(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(x)} \left( \int Q(x)\mu(x) \, dx + c \right).$$

A first order linear ODE is particular simple, it is of the form

$$y'+P(x)y=Q(x).$$

The above can be solved by multiplying with the integrating factor

$$\mu(x) := \exp\left(\int_{x_0}^x P(t) \, dt\right).$$

The final solution is also explicitly given by

$$y(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(x)} \left( \int Q(x)\mu(x) \, dx + c \right).$$

(Bernoulli) If the ODE was instead  $y' + P(x)y = Q(x)y^n$  for some  $n \neq 0, 1$ , then substitute  $v = y^{1-n}$  and it will "magically" get reduced to the above.

$$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0, (2)$$

where the functions p and q are continuous on some open interval I.

$$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0,$$
 (2)

where the functions p and q are continuous on some open interval I.

Theorem 6 (Existence-uniqueness result)

Let  $x_0 \in I$ , and fix  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0,$$
 (2)

where the functions p and q are continuous on some open interval I.

#### Theorem 6 (Existence-uniqueness result)

Let  $x_0 \in I$ , and fix  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . There is a unique solution y, defined on I, satisfying (2) along with  $y(x_0) = a$  and  $y'(x_0) = b$ .

$$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0,$$
 (2)

where the functions p and q are continuous on some open interval I.

#### Theorem 6 (Existence-uniqueness result)

Let  $x_0 \in I$ , and fix  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . There is a unique solution y, defined on I, satisfying (2) along with  $y(x_0) = a$  and  $y'(x_0) = b$ .

#### Theorem 7 (Dimension result)

The solution space of (2) is a two-dimensional real vector space.

. . . . . . .

Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be differentiable on I.

• • = • •

Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be differentiable on *I*. The Wroskian of  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  is defined by

→ ∃ →

Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be differentiable on *I*. The Wroskian of  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  is defined by

$$W(y_1, y_2)(x) := \det \begin{bmatrix} y_1(x) & y_2(x) \\ y'_1(x) & y'_2(x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

→ ∃ →

Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be differentiable on *I*. The Wroskian of  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  is defined by

$$W(y_1, y_2)(x) := \det \begin{bmatrix} y_1(x) & y_2(x) \\ y'_1(x) & y'_2(x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that the Wronskian is defined for any two functions, without any mention of any DE.

4 E > 4

Recall that two functions  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are said to be linearly dependent (LD) on I

 $c_1 y_1(x) + c_2 y_2(x) = 0$ 

 $c_1 y_1(x) + c_2 y_2(x) = 0$ 

for all  $x \in I$ .

### Wronskian and linear dependence

Recall that two functions  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are said to be linearly dependent (LD) on I if there exists constants  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  not both zero such that

$$c_1 y_1(x) + c_2 y_2(x) = 0$$

for all  $x \in I$ .

Theorem 9

If  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are LD on I, then  $W(y_1, y_2)(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ .

 $c_1 y_1(x) + c_2 y_2(x) = 0$ 

for all  $x \in I$ .

Theorem 9 If  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are LD on I, then  $W(y_1, y_2)(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ .

However, even if  $W(y_1, y_2)(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ , it is **not** necessary that  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are linearly dependent on I.

 $c_1 y_1(x) + c_2 y_2(x) = 0$ 

for all  $x \in I$ .

# Theorem 9 If $y_1$ and $y_2$ are LD on I, then $W(y_1, y_2)(x) = 0$ for all $x \in I$ .

However, even if  $W(y_1, y_2)(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ , it is **not** necessary that  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are linearly dependent on I.

Consider I = (-1, 1) and the functions  $y_1(x) = x^3$  and  $y_2(x) = |x|^3$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

$$c_1 y_1(x) + c_2 y_2(x) = 0$$

for all  $x \in I$ .

# Theorem 9

If  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are LD on I, then  $W(y_1, y_2)(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ .

However, even if  $W(y_1, y_2)(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ , it is **not** necessary that  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are linearly dependent on I.

Consider I = (-1, 1) and the functions  $y_1(x) = x^3$  and  $y_2(x) = |x|^3$ .

Again, note that no reference to any DE has been made.

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

#### Theorem 10

Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be solutions to y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0 on an open interval I

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

#### Theorem 10

Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be solutions to y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0 on an open interval I (as before, p and q are continuous on I).

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

#### Theorem 10

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

#### Theorem 10

Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be solutions to y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0 on an open interval I (as before, p and q are continuous on I). The following are equivalent: •  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are linearly dependent on I.

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

#### Theorem 10

- $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are linearly dependent on I.
- 2 Their Wronskian vanishes everywhere on *I*.

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

#### Theorem 10

- $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are linearly dependent on *I*.
- 2 Their Wronskian vanishes everywhere on *I*.
- Their Wronskian vanishes at one point in *I*.

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

#### Theorem 10

- $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are linearly dependent on *I*.
- 2 Their Wronskian vanishes everywhere on *I*.
- Their Wronskian vanishes at one point in *I*.

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

#### Theorem 10

Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be solutions to y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0 on an open interval I (as before, p and q are continuous on I). The following are equivalent:

- $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are linearly dependent on *I*.
- 2 Their Wronskian vanishes everywhere on *I*.
- So Their Wronskian vanishes at one point in *I*.

What the above theorem tells us about  $x^3$  and  $|x|^3$  is that they cannot be the solutions to a standard linear ODE on (-1, 1).

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

#### Theorem 10

Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be solutions to y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0 on an open interval I (as before, p and q are continuous on I). The following are equivalent:

- $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are linearly dependent on I.
- 2 Their Wronskian vanishes everywhere on *I*.
- So Their Wronskian vanishes at one point in *I*.

What the above theorem tells us about  $x^3$  and  $|x|^3$  is that they cannot be the solutions to a standard linear ODE on (-1, 1). Note that they are solutions to  $x^2y'' - 5xy' + 6y = 0$ .

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

#### Theorem 10

Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be solutions to y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0 on an open interval I (as before, p and q are continuous on I). The following are equivalent:

- $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are linearly dependent on I.
- 2 Their Wronskian vanishes everywhere on *I*.
- So Their Wronskian vanishes at one point in *I*.

What the above theorem tells us about  $x^3$  and  $|x|^3$  is that they cannot be the solutions to a standard linear ODE on (-1, 1). Note that they are solutions to  $x^2y'' - 5xy' + 6y = 0$ .

Similarly,  $x^2$  and  $x^3$  are not LD on (-1, 1) but their Wronskian vanishes at 0.

A D F A B F A B F A B

Now we make reference to an ODE and also see a (strong!) converse to the previous theorem.

#### Theorem 10

Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be solutions to y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0 on an open interval I (as before, p and q are continuous on I). The following are equivalent:

- $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are linearly dependent on I.
- 2 Their Wronskian vanishes everywhere on *I*.
- So Their Wronskian vanishes at one point in *I*.

What the above theorem tells us about  $x^3$  and  $|x|^3$  is that they cannot be the solutions to a standard linear ODE on (-1, 1). Note that they are solutions to  $x^2y'' - 5xy' + 6y = 0$ .

Similarly,  $x^2$  and  $x^3$  are not LD on (-1,1) but their Wronskian vanishes at 0. (Again, both of them are solutions to that non-standard ODE written above.)

On the previous slide, we saw that if the Wronskian is nonzero at a point, then it must nonzero everywhere.

∃ >

On the previous slide, we saw that if the Wronskian is nonzero at a point, then it must nonzero everywhere. We actually have a more precise relation given by Abel's formula.
### Theorem 11 (Abel-Liouville)

Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be any two solutions of y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0.

### Theorem 11 (Abel-Liouville)

Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be any two solutions of y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0. Then, the Wronskian  $W := W(y_1, y_2)$  satisfies the differential equation

#### Theorem 11 (Abel-Liouville)

Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be any two solutions of y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0. Then, the Wronskian  $W := W(y_1, y_2)$  satisfies the differential equation

W'(x) = -p(x)W(x).

#### Theorem 11 (Abel-Liouville)

Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be any two solutions of y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0. Then, the Wronskian  $W := W(y_1, y_2)$  satisfies the differential equation

$$W'(x) = -p(x)W(x).$$

Consequently, if  $x_0 \in I$ , then

$$\mathcal{W}(x) = \mathcal{W}(x_0) \exp\left(-\int_{x_0}^x p(t) dt\right).$$

A consequence of the earlier is the following:

A consequence of the earlier is the following: If  $y_1$  is one solution of

$$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0,$$

A consequence of the earlier is the following: If  $y_1$  is one solution of

$$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0,$$

then a linearly independent solution  $y_2$  to the above (homogeneous) equation is given by

A consequence of the earlier is the following: If  $y_1$  is one solution of

$$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0,$$

then a linearly independent solution  $y_2$  to the above (homogeneous) equation is given by

$$y_2(x) = y_1(x) \int \frac{\exp\left(-\int p(x) \, dx\right)}{y_1(x)^2} \, dx.$$

# Table of Contents

### Basics

- 2 Specific (JEE) ODEs
- 3 Exact ODEs
- 4 IVP
- 5 Linear ODEs
- 6 Specific second order linear ODEs
- 7 *n*-th order linear ODE
- 8 Laplace transform

< ∃ ►

### Constant coefficients

ODE in question:

$$y^{\prime\prime}+py^{\prime}+qy=0.$$

(日)

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic  $m^2 + pm + q = 0$ .

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic  $m^2 + pm + q = 0$ . Call them  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ .

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic  $m^2 + pm + q = 0$ . Call them  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct.

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic  $m^2 + pm + q = 0$ . Call them  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is  $\{e^{m_1x}, e^{m_2x}\}$ .

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic  $m^2 + pm + q = 0$ . Call them  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is  $\{e^{m_1x}, e^{m_2x}\}$ . Case 2: Real repeated root.

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic  $m^2 + pm + q = 0$ . Call them  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is  $\{e^{m_1x}, e^{m_2x}\}$ . Case 2: Real repeated root. A basis for solution is  $\{e^{m_1x}, xe^{m_1x}\}$ .

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic  $m^2 + pm + q = 0$ . Call them  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is  $\{e^{m_1x}, e^{m_2x}\}$ . Case 2: Real repeated root. A basis for solution is  $\{e^{m_1x}, xe^{m_1x}\}$ . Case 3: Roots are distinct and not real.

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic  $m^2 + pm + q = 0$ . Call them  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is  $\{e^{m_1x}, e^{m_2x}\}$ . Case 2: Real repeated root. A basis for solution is  $\{e^{m_1x}, xe^{m_1x}\}$ . Case 3: Roots are distinct and not real. In this case, the roots are of the form  $a \pm \iota b$ .

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic  $m^2 + pm + q = 0$ . Call them  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is  $\{e^{m_1x}, e^{m_2x}\}$ . Case 2: Real repeated root. A basis for solution is  $\{e^{m_1x}, xe^{m_1x}\}$ . Case 3: Roots are distinct and not real. In this case, the roots are of the form  $a \pm \iota b$ . A basis for solution is  $\{e^{ax}\cos(bx), e^{ax}\sin(bx)\}$ .

$$y'' + py' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic  $m^2 + pm + q = 0$ . Call them  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is  $\{e^{m_1x}, e^{m_2x}\}$ . Case 2: Real repeated root. A basis for solution is  $\{e^{m_1x}, xe^{m_1x}\}$ . Case 3: Roots are distinct and not real. In this case, the roots are of the form  $a \pm \iota b$ . A basis for solution is  $\{e^{ax}\cos(bx), e^{ax}\sin(bx)\}$ .

Note that basis being  $\{y_1, y_2\}$  means that the general solution is given by  $c_1y_1 + c_2y_2$  for  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$x^2y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イ

$$x^2y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers.

Image: A matrix

- 4 ∃ ▶

$$x^2y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form.

< ∃ >

$$x^2y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on  $(0, \infty)$ , where it can be put in standard form by dividing by  $x^2$ .

$$x^2y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on  $(0, \infty)$ , where it can be put in standard form by dividing by  $x^2$ .

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic m(m-1) + pm + q = 0.

$$x^2y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on  $(0, \infty)$ , where it can be put in standard form by dividing by  $x^2$ .

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic m(m-1) + pm + q = 0. Call them  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ .

$$x^2y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on  $(0, \infty)$ , where it can be put in standard form by dividing by  $x^2$ .

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic m(m-1) + pm + q = 0. Call them  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct.

$$x^2y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on  $(0, \infty)$ , where it can be put in standard form by dividing by  $x^2$ .

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic m(m-1) + pm + q = 0. Call them  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is  $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_2}\}$ .

$$x^2y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on  $(0, \infty)$ , where it can be put in standard form by dividing by  $x^2$ .

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic m(m-1) + pm + q = 0. Call them  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is  $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_2}\}$ . Case 2: Real repeated root.

$$x^2y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on  $(0, \infty)$ , where it can be put in standard form by dividing by  $x^2$ .

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic m(m-1) + pm + q = 0. Call them  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is  $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_2}\}$ . Case 2: Real repeated root. A basis for solution is  $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_1} \log(x)\}$ .

$$x^2y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on  $(0, \infty)$ , where it can be put in standard form by dividing by  $x^2$ .

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic m(m-1) + pm + q = 0. Call them  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is  $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_2}\}$ . Case 2: Real repeated root. A basis for solution is  $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_1} \log(x)\}$ . Case 3: Roots are distinct and not real.

$$x^2y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on  $(0, \infty)$ , where it can be put in standard form by dividing by  $x^2$ .

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic m(m-1) + pm + q = 0. Call them  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is  $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_2}\}$ . Case 2: Real repeated root. A basis for solution is  $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_1} \log(x)\}$ . Case 3: Roots are distinct and not real. In this case, the roots are of the form  $a \pm \iota b$ .

$$x^2y'' + pxy' + qy = 0.$$

Here p and q are real numbers. The above is **not** in standard form. However, we wish to solve the above on  $(0, \infty)$ , where it can be put in standard form by dividing by  $x^2$ .

Solution: Find the roots of the quadratic m(m-1) + pm + q = 0. Call them  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ .

Case 1: Roots are real and distinct. A basis for solution is  $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_2}\}$ . Case 2: Real repeated root. A basis for solution is  $\{x^{m_1}, x^{m_1} \log(x)\}$ . Case 3: Roots are distinct and not real. In this case, the roots are of the form  $a \pm \iota b$ . A basis for solution is  $\{x^a \cos(b \log(x)), x^a \sin(b \log(x))\}$ .

# Table of Contents

### Basics

- 2 Specific (JEE) ODEs
- 3 Exact ODEs
- 4 IVP
- 5 Linear ODEs
- 6 Specific second order linear ODEs
- n-th order linear ODE
- 8 Laplace transform

< ∃ ►
$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \dots + p_0(x)y = 0.$$
 (3)

A D > A A > A > A

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \dots + p_0(x)y = 0.$$
 (3)

Here, the coefficients  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are assumed to be continuous on an open interval I.

→ ∃ →

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \dots + p_0(x)y = 0.$$
 (3)

Here, the coefficients  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are assumed to be continuous on an open interval *I*.

(Existence-uniqueness) Let  $x_0 \in I$ .

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \dots + p_0(x)y = 0.$$
 (3)

Here, the coefficients  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are assumed to be continuous on an open interval *I*.

(Existence-uniqueness) Let  $x_0 \in I$ . Suppose that  $k_0, \ldots, k_{n-1}$  are arbitrary real numbers.

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \dots + p_0(x)y = 0.$$
 (3)

Here, the coefficients  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are assumed to be continuous on an open interval *I*.

(Existence-uniqueness) Let  $x_0 \in I$ . Suppose that  $k_0, \ldots, k_{n-1}$  are arbitrary real numbers. (3) has a unique solution y, defined on I, such that  $y(x_0) = k_0, y'(x_0) = k_1, \ldots, y^{(n-1)}(x_0) = k_{n-1}$ .

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \dots + p_0(x)y = 0.$$
 (3)

Here, the coefficients  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are assumed to be continuous on an open interval *I*.

(Existence-uniqueness) Let  $x_0 \in I$ . Suppose that  $k_0, \ldots, k_{n-1}$  are arbitrary real numbers. (3) has a unique solution y, defined on I, such that  $y(x_0) = k_0, y'(x_0) = k_1, \ldots, y^{(n-1)}(x_0) = k_{n-1}$ .

(Dimension result) The solution space of (3) is *n*-dimensional.

The Wronskian of *n* nice function  $y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}$  is defined by

$$W(y_1, \dots, y_n)(x) := \det \begin{bmatrix} y_1(x) & y_2(x) & \cdots & y_n(x) \\ y'_1(x) & y'_2(x) & \cdots & y'_n(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_1^{(n-1)}(x) & y_2^{(n-1)}(x) & \cdots & y_n^{(n-1)}(x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Image: A math the second se

The Wronskian of *n* nice function  $y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}$  is defined by

$$W(y_1,\ldots,y_n)(x) := \det \begin{bmatrix} y_1(x) & y_2(x) & \cdots & y_n(x) \\ y'_1(x) & y'_2(x) & \cdots & y'_n(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_1^{(n-1)}(x) & y_2^{(n-1)}(x) & \cdots & y_n^{(n-1)}(x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  are solutions to the earlier homogeneous linear ODE in standard form, and  $x_0 \in I$ .

→ ∃ →

The Wronskian of *n* nice function  $y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}$  is defined by

$$W(y_1,\ldots,y_n)(x) := \det \begin{bmatrix} y_1(x) & y_2(x) & \cdots & y_n(x) \\ y'_1(x) & y'_2(x) & \cdots & y'_n(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_1^{(n-1)}(x) & y_2^{(n-1)}(x) & \cdots & y_n^{(n-1)}(x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  are solutions to the earlier homogeneous linear ODE in standard form, and  $x_0 \in I$ . Then,  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  are LD iff their Wronskian vanishes at  $x_0$  iff their Wronskian vanishes everywhere on I.

Let  $y_1, ..., y_n$  be solutions of  $y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_0(x)y = 0$ .

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Let  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  be solutions of  $y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_0(x)y = 0$ . Then, the Wronskian  $W := W(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$  satisfies the differential equation

Let  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  be solutions of  $y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_0(x)y = 0$ . Then, the Wronskian  $W := W(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$  satisfies the differential equation

 $W'(x) = -p_{n-1}(x)W(x).$ 

. . . . . . . .

Let  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  be solutions of  $y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_0(x)y = 0$ . Then, the Wronskian  $W := W(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$  satisfies the differential equation

$$\mathcal{N}'(x) = -p_{n-1}(x)\mathcal{W}(x).$$

Consequently, if  $x_0 \in I$ , then

$$W(x) = W(x_0) \exp\left(-\int_{x_0}^x p_{n-1}(t) dt\right).$$

▶ ★ 臣 ▶ ★ 臣

Let  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  be solutions of  $y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_0(x)y = 0$ . Then, the Wronskian  $W := W(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$  satisfies the differential equation

$$W'(x) = -p_{n-1}(x)W(x).$$

Consequently, if  $x_0 \in I$ , then

$$W(x) = W(x_0) \exp\left(-\int_{x_0}^x p_{n-1}(t) dt\right).$$

Note that the coefficient of  $y^{(n-1)}$  is the one that appears above.

• • = • • =

To solve:

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_0y = 0,$$

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

Image: Image:

. . . . . .

To solve:

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_0y = 0,$$

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

Method: Find the solutions of the characteristic equation

$$m^{n} + p_{n-1}m^{n-1} + \cdots + p_{0}m = 0.$$

< ∃ >

To solve:

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_0y = 0,$$

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

Method: Find the solutions of the characteristic equation

$$m^{n} + p_{n-1}m^{n-1} + \cdots + p_{0}m = 0.$$

If  $m_0$  is a root with multiplicity k + 1 (here  $k \ge 0$ ),

To solve:

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_0y = 0,$$

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

Method: Find the solutions of the characteristic equation

$$m^{n} + p_{n-1}m^{n-1} + \cdots + p_{0}m = 0.$$

If  $m_0$  is a root with multiplicity k + 1 (here  $k \ge 0$ ), then the solutions are  $e^{m_0 x}, xe^{m_0 x}, \dots, x^k e^{m_0 x}$ .

To solve:

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_0y = 0,$$

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

Method: Find the solutions of the characteristic equation

$$m^{n} + p_{n-1}m^{n-1} + \cdots + p_{0}m = 0.$$

If  $m_0$  is a root with multiplicity k + 1 (here  $k \ge 0$ ), then the solutions are  $e^{m_0 x}, xe^{m_0 x}, \ldots, x^k e^{m_0 x}$ . Since there are *n* roots with multiplicity (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ), we get *n* LI solutions.

To solve:

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_0y = 0,$$

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

Method: Find the solutions of the characteristic equation

$$m^{n} + p_{n-1}m^{n-1} + \cdots + p_{0}m = 0.$$

If  $m_0$  is a root with multiplicity k + 1 (here  $k \ge 0$ ), then the solutions are  $e^{m_0 x}, xe^{m_0 x}, \ldots, x^k e^{m_0 x}$ . Since there are *n* roots with multiplicity (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ), we get *n* LI solutions.

If  $m_0 = a + \iota b$ , then its conjugate is also a root.

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_0y = 0,$$

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

Method: Find the solutions of the characteristic equation

$$m^{n} + p_{n-1}m^{n-1} + \cdots + p_{0}m = 0.$$

If  $m_0$  is a root with multiplicity k + 1 (here  $k \ge 0$ ), then the solutions are  $e^{m_0 x}, xe^{m_0 x}, \ldots, x^k e^{m_0 x}$ . Since there are *n* roots with multiplicity (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ), we get *n* LI solutions.

If  $m_0 = a + \iota b$ , then its conjugate is also a root. Replace  $x^k e^{(a \pm \iota b)x}$  with  $x^k e^{ax} \cos(bx)$  and  $x^k e^{ax} \sin(bx)$ .

$$x^{n}y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}x^{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_{0}y = 0,$$

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

• • • • • • • • • • • •

$$x^{n}y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}x^{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_{0}y = 0,$$

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

Method: Find the solutions of the characteristic equation

$$m(m-1)\cdots(m-(n-1))+m(m-1)\cdots(m-(n-2))p_{n-1}+\cdots+p_0m=0.$$

★ ∃ ►

$$x^{n}y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}x^{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_{0}y = 0,$$

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

Method: Find the solutions of the characteristic equation

$$m(m-1)\cdots(m-(n-1))+m(m-1)\cdots(m-(n-2))p_{n-1}+\cdots+p_0m=0.$$

If  $m_0$  is a root with multiplicity k + 1 (here  $k \ge 0$ ),

$$x^{n}y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}x^{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_{0}y = 0,$$

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

Method: Find the solutions of the characteristic equation

$$m(m-1)\cdots(m-(n-1))+m(m-1)\cdots(m-(n-2))p_{n-1}+\cdots+p_0m=0.$$

If  $m_0$  is a root with multiplicity k + 1 (here  $k \ge 0$ ), then the solutions are  $x^{m_0}, x^{m_0} \log(x), \ldots, x^{m_0} (\log(x))^k$ .

$$x^{n}y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}x^{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_{0}y = 0,$$

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

Method: Find the solutions of the characteristic equation

$$m(m-1)\cdots(m-(n-1))+m(m-1)\cdots(m-(n-2))p_{n-1}+\cdots+p_0m=0.$$

If  $m_0$  is a root with multiplicity k + 1 (here  $k \ge 0$ ), then the solutions are  $x^{m_0}, x^{m_0} \log(x), \ldots, x^{m_0} (\log(x))^k$ .

As before, in case of complex roots, we have the following replacement:

$$x^{n}y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}x^{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_{0}y = 0,$$

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

Method: Find the solutions of the characteristic equation

$$m(m-1)\cdots(m-(n-1))+m(m-1)\cdots(m-(n-2))p_{n-1}+\cdots+p_0m=0.$$

If  $m_0$  is a root with multiplicity k + 1 (here  $k \ge 0$ ), then the solutions are  $x^{m_0}, x^{m_0} \log(x), \ldots, x^{m_0} (\log(x))^k$ .

As before, in case of complex roots, we have the following replacement:  $x^{a \pm \iota b} (\log(x))^k \rightsquigarrow x^a \cos(b \log(x)) (\log(x))^k, x^a \sin(b \log(x)) (\log(x))^k.$ 

Consider the non-homogeneous ODE

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \dots + p_0y = x^k e^{mx},$$
 (4)

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

Consider the non-homogeneous ODE

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \dots + p_0y = x^k e^{mx},$$
 (4)

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

We already know how to find the general solution of the homogeneous part.

Consider the non-homogeneous ODE

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \dots + p_0y = x^k e^{mx},$$
 (4)

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

We already know how to find the general solution of the homogeneous part. We now try to find a particular solution  $y_p$  of the non-homogeneous ODE.

Consider the non-homogeneous ODE

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \dots + p_0y = x^k e^{mx},$$
 (4)

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

We already know how to find the general solution of the homogeneous part. We now try to find a particular solution  $y_p$  of the non-homogeneous ODE.

Let  $\mu$  be the multiplicity of *m* as a root of the characteristic polynomial.

Consider the non-homogeneous ODE

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \dots + p_0y = x^k e^{mx},$$
 (4)

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

We already know how to find the general solution of the homogeneous part. We now try to find a particular solution  $y_p$  of the non-homogeneous ODE.

Let  $\mu$  be the multiplicity of m as a root of the characteristic polynomial. ( $\mu = 0$  if m is not a root.)

Consider the non-homogeneous ODE

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \dots + p_0y = x^k e^{mx},$$
 (4)

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

We already know how to find the general solution of the homogeneous part. We now try to find a particular solution  $y_p$  of the non-homogeneous ODE.

Let  $\mu$  be the multiplicity of m as a root of the characteristic polynomial. ( $\mu = 0$  if m is not a root.) Then, the guess solution is

$$y_p = x^{\mu}(a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_kx^k)e^{mx}.$$

Consider the non-homogeneous ODE

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \dots + p_0y = x^k e^{mx},$$
 (4)

where  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  are real numbers.

We already know how to find the general solution of the homogeneous part. We now try to find a particular solution  $y_p$  of the non-homogeneous ODE.

Let  $\mu$  be the multiplicity of m as a root of the characteristic polynomial. ( $\mu = 0$  if m is not a root.) Then, the guess solution is

$$y_p = x^{\mu}(a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_kx^k)e^{mx}.$$

The coefficients  $a_0, \ldots, a_k$  are obtained by plugging  $y_p$  in (4) and comparing coefficients.

Instead of  $e^{mx}$ , we may have  $e^{ax} \sin(bx)$  or  $e^{ax} \cos(bx)$ .

Instead of  $e^{mx}$ , we may have  $e^{ax} \sin(bx)$  or  $e^{ax} \cos(bx)$ . In this case, the guess is of the form

$$y_p = x^{\mu}(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_kx^k)e^{ax}\cos(bx)$$
  
  $+ x^{\mu}(b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_kx^k)e^{ax}\sin(bx).$
Instead of  $e^{mx}$ , we may have  $e^{ax} \sin(bx)$  or  $e^{ax} \cos(bx)$ . In this case, the guess is of the form

$$y_p = x^{\mu}(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_kx^k)e^{ax}\cos(bx)$$
  
  $+ x^{\mu}(b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_kx^k)e^{ax}\sin(bx).$ 

Alternately, you may want to break the problem of  $e^{ax} \sin(bx)$  into two complex problems of  $e^{(a+\iota b)x}$  and  $e^{(a-\iota b)x}$ .

Instead of  $e^{mx}$ , we may have  $e^{ax} \sin(bx)$  or  $e^{ax} \cos(bx)$ . In this case, the guess is of the form

$$y_{p} = x^{\mu}(a_{0} + a_{1}x + \dots + a_{k}x^{k})e^{ax}\cos(bx)$$
  
  $+ x^{\mu}(b_{0} + b_{1}x + \dots + b_{k}x^{k})e^{ax}\sin(bx).$ 

Alternately, you may want to break the problem of  $e^{ax} \sin(bx)$  into two complex problems of  $e^{(a+\iota b)x}$  and  $e^{(a-\iota b)x}$ .

The method of undetermined coefficients for Cauchy-Euler is the same with obvious modifications.

Suppose we wish to solve

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_0(x)y = r(x),$$

Suppose we wish to solve

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_0(x)y = r(x),$$

and we already have LI solutions  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  of the homogeneous part.

Suppose we wish to solve

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_0(x)y = r(x),$$

and we already have LI solutions  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  of the homogeneous part. Then, a particular solution is given by

$$y_p = v_1 y_1 + \cdots + v_n y_n,$$

Suppose we wish to solve

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_0(x)y = r(x),$$

and we already have LI solutions  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  of the homogeneous part. Then, a particular solution is given by

$$y_p = v_1 y_1 + \cdots + v_n y_n,$$

where  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  are determined by solving

Suppose we wish to solve

$$y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}(x)y^{(n-1)} + \cdots + p_0(x)y = r(x),$$

and we already have LI solutions  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  of the homogeneous part. Then, a particular solution is given by

$$y_p = v_1 y_1 + \cdots + v_n y_n,$$

where  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  are determined by solving

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1(x) & y_2(x) & \cdots & y_n(x) \\ y'_1(x) & y'_2(x) & \cdots & y'_n(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_1^{(n-1)}(x) & y_2^{(n-1)}(x) & \cdots & y_n^{(n-1)}(x) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v'_1(x) \\ v'_2(x) \\ \vdots \\ v'_n(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ r(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

# Table of Contents

#### Basics

- 2 Specific (JEE) ODEs
- 3 Exact ODEs
- 4 IVP
- 5 Linear ODEs
- 6 Specific second order linear ODEs
- 7 *n*-th order linear ODE
- 8 Laplace transform

→ ∃ ▶

#### Definition 13

Let  $f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  be a function.

Aryaman Maithani (IIT Bombay)

メロト メタトメ ヨトメ ヨ

#### Definition 13

Let  $f: (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function. The Laplace transform of f, denoted  $\mathcal{L}(f)$ , is defined by

(日)

#### Definition 13

Let  $f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  be a function. The Laplace transform of f, denoted  $\mathcal{L}(f)$ , is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(f)(s) := \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) \, dt.$$

• • • • • • • • • • • •

#### Definition 13

Let  $f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  be a function. The Laplace transform of f, denoted  $\mathcal{L}(f)$ , is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(f)(s) := \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) \, dt.$$

This function is typically defined on  $(a, \infty)$  for some a > 0.

→ ∃ →

#### Definition 13

Let  $f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  be a function. The Laplace transform of f, denoted  $\mathcal{L}(f)$ , is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(f)(s) := \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) \, dt.$$

This function is typically defined on  $(a, \infty)$  for some a > 0. The Laplace transform of a function of t is typically written as a function of s, using the corresponding capital letter.

#### Definition 13

Let  $f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  be a function. The Laplace transform of f, denoted  $\mathcal{L}(f)$ , is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(f)(s) := \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) \, dt.$$

This function is typically defined on  $(a, \infty)$  for some a > 0. The Laplace transform of a function of t is typically written as a function of s, using the corresponding capital letter.

If f is piecewise continuous and of exponential order, then  $\mathcal{L}(f)(s)$  exists for s large enough.

#### Definition 13

Let  $f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  be a function. The Laplace transform of f, denoted  $\mathcal{L}(f)$ , is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(f)(s) := \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) \, dt.$$

This function is typically defined on  $(a, \infty)$  for some a > 0. The Laplace transform of a function of t is typically written as a function of s, using the corresponding capital letter.

If f is piecewise continuous and of exponential order, then  $\mathcal{L}(f)(s)$  exists for s large enough.

More precisely: if there exist  $a, t_0, K > 0$ 

#### Definition 13

Let  $f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  be a function. The Laplace transform of f, denoted  $\mathcal{L}(f)$ , is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(f)(s) := \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) \, dt.$$

This function is typically defined on  $(a, \infty)$  for some a > 0. The Laplace transform of a function of t is typically written as a function of s, using the corresponding capital letter.

If f is piecewise continuous and of exponential order, then  $\mathcal{L}(f)(s)$  exists for s large enough.

More precisely: if there exist  $a, t_0, K > 0$  such that  $|f(t)| \leq Ke^{at}$  for all  $t > t_0$ ,

A D > A A > A > A

#### Definition 13

Let  $f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  be a function. The Laplace transform of f, denoted  $\mathcal{L}(f)$ , is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(f)(s) := \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) \, dt.$$

This function is typically defined on  $(a, \infty)$  for some a > 0. The Laplace transform of a function of t is typically written as a function of s, using the corresponding capital letter.

If f is piecewise continuous and of exponential order, then  $\mathcal{L}(f)(s)$  exists for s large enough.

More precisely: if there exist  $a, t_0, K > 0$  such that  $|f(t)| \leq Ke^{at}$  for all  $t > t_0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(f)(s)$  exists for all s > a.

$$u_c(t) := egin{cases} 0 & t < c, \ 1 & t \geqslant c. \end{cases}$$

$$u_c(t) := egin{cases} 0 & t < c, \ 1 & t \geqslant c. \end{cases}$$

The convolution of two functions f and g defined on  $(0,\infty)$  is defined by

$$u_c(t) := egin{cases} 0 & t < c, \ 1 & t \geqslant c. \end{cases}$$

The convolution of two functions f and g defined on  $(0,\infty)$  is defined by

$$(f*g)(t) := \int_0^t f(\tau)g(t-\tau)\,d\tau.$$

$$u_c(t) := egin{cases} 0 & t < c, \ 1 & t \geqslant c. \end{cases}$$

The convolution of two functions f and g defined on  $(0,\infty)$  is defined by

$$(f*g)(t) := \int_0^t f(\tau)g(t-\tau) d\tau.$$

Note that f \* g is itself a new function.

$$u_c(t) := egin{cases} 0 & t < c, \ 1 & t \geqslant c. \end{cases}$$

The convolution of two functions f and g defined on  $(0,\infty)$  is defined by

$$(f*g)(t) := \int_0^t f(\tau)g(t-\tau) d\tau.$$

Note that f \* g is itself a new function. \* is commutative, associative, and distributes over addition.

$$u_c(t) := egin{cases} 0 & t < c, \ 1 & t \geqslant c. \end{cases}$$

The convolution of two functions f and g defined on  $(0,\infty)$  is defined by

$$(f*g)(t) := \int_0^t f(\tau)g(t-\tau) d\tau.$$

Note that f \* g is itself a new function. \* is commutative, associative, and distributes over addition. 1 \* f = f is **not** true in general.

#### Linearity: $\mathcal{L}(af + bg) = a\mathcal{L}(f) + b\mathcal{L}(g)$ for functions f, g and reals a, b.

→ < ≣ →

Linearity:  $\mathcal{L}(af + bg) = a\mathcal{L}(f) + b\mathcal{L}(g)$  for functions f, g and reals a, b. Shifting I: If  $\mathcal{L}(f(t)) = F(s)$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(e^{at}f(t)) = F(s - a)$ .

Linearity:  $\mathcal{L}(af + bg) = a\mathcal{L}(f) + b\mathcal{L}(g)$  for functions f, g and reals a, b. Shifting I: If  $\mathcal{L}(f(t)) = F(s)$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(e^{at}f(t)) = F(s - a)$ . Shifting II:  $\mathcal{L}(u_c(t)f(t - c)) = e^{-cs}F(s)$ , where  $c \ge 0$ . Linearity:  $\mathcal{L}(af + bg) = a\mathcal{L}(f) + b\mathcal{L}(g)$  for functions f, g and reals a, b. Shifting I: If  $\mathcal{L}(f(t)) = F(s)$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(e^{at}f(t)) = F(s - a)$ . Shifting II:  $\mathcal{L}(u_c(t)f(t - c)) = e^{-cs}F(s)$ , where  $c \ge 0$ . Scaling:  $\mathcal{L}(f(ct)) = \frac{1}{c}F(\frac{s}{c})$ . Linearity:  $\mathcal{L}(af + bg) = a\mathcal{L}(f) + b\mathcal{L}(g)$  for functions f, g and reals a, b. Shifting I: If  $\mathcal{L}(f(t)) = F(s)$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(e^{at}f(t)) = F(s - a)$ . Shifting II:  $\mathcal{L}(u_c(t)f(t - c)) = e^{-cs}F(s)$ , where  $c \ge 0$ . Scaling:  $\mathcal{L}(f(ct)) = \frac{1}{c}F(\frac{s}{c})$ . Derivative I:  $\mathcal{L}(f')(s) = sF(s) - f(0)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(f'')(s) = s^2F(s) - sf(0) - f''(0)$ . Linearity:  $\mathcal{L}(af + bg) = a\mathcal{L}(f) + b\mathcal{L}(g)$  for functions f, g and reals a, b. Shifting I: If  $\mathcal{L}(f(t)) = F(s)$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(e^{at}f(t)) = F(s - a)$ . Shifting II:  $\mathcal{L}(u_c(t)f(t - c)) = e^{-cs}F(s)$ , where  $c \ge 0$ . Scaling:  $\mathcal{L}(f(ct)) = \frac{1}{c}F(\frac{s}{c})$ . Derivative I:  $\mathcal{L}(f')(s) = sF(s) - f(0)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(f'')(s) = s^2F(s) - sf(0) - f''(0)$ . Derivative II:  $\mathcal{L}(tf(t)) = -F'(s)$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Linearity:  $\mathcal{L}(af + bg) = a\mathcal{L}(f) + b\mathcal{L}(g)$  for functions f, g and reals a, b. Shifting I: If  $\mathcal{L}(f(t)) = F(s)$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(e^{at}f(t)) = F(s - a)$ . Shifting II:  $\mathcal{L}(u_c(t)f(t - c)) = e^{-cs}F(s)$ , where  $c \ge 0$ . Scaling:  $\mathcal{L}(f(ct)) = \frac{1}{c}F(\frac{s}{c})$ . Derivative I:  $\mathcal{L}(f')(s) = sF(s) - f(0)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(f'')(s) = s^2F(s) - sf(0) - f''(0)$ . Derivative II:  $\mathcal{L}(tf(t)) = -F'(s)$ . Convolution:  $\mathcal{L}(f * g) = \mathcal{L}(f)\mathcal{L}(g)$ .

(日)

Linearity:  $\mathcal{L}(af + bg) = a\mathcal{L}(f) + b\mathcal{L}(g)$  for functions f, g and reals a, b. Shifting I: If  $\mathcal{L}(f(t)) = F(s)$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(e^{at}f(t)) = F(s - a)$ . Shifting II:  $\mathcal{L}(u_c(t)f(t - c)) = e^{-cs}F(s)$ , where  $c \ge 0$ . Scaling:  $\mathcal{L}(f(ct)) = \frac{1}{c}F(\frac{s}{c})$ . Derivative I:  $\mathcal{L}(f')(s) = sF(s) - f(0)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(f'')(s) = s^2F(s) - sf(0) - f''(0)$ . Derivative II:  $\mathcal{L}(tf(t)) = -F'(s)$ . Convolution:  $\mathcal{L}(f * g) = \mathcal{L}(f)\mathcal{L}(g)$ .

(日)

# Laplace of common functions

f(t)	F(s)	f(t)	F(s)
t	1/s <sup>2</sup>	tª	$\frac{\Gamma(a+1)}{s^{a+1}}$
$u_c(t)$	$e^{-cs}/s$	e <sup>at</sup>	$\frac{1}{s-a}$
$\sin(\omega t)$	$\frac{\omega}{s^2 + \omega^2}$	$\cos(\omega t)$	$rac{s}{s^2+\omega^2}$
$t\sin(\omega t)$	$\frac{2\omega s}{(s^2+\omega^2)^2}$	$t\cos(\omega t)$	$\frac{s^2-\omega^2}{(s^2+\omega^2)^2}$
$e^{at}\sin(\omega t)$	$\frac{\omega}{(s-a)^2+\omega^2}$	$e^{at}\cos(\omega t)$	$rac{s-a}{(s-a)^2+\omega^2}$
$\sinh(\omega t)$	$rac{\omega}{s^2-\omega^2}$	$\cosh(\omega t)$	$rac{s}{s^2-\omega^2}$
$e^{at}\sinh(\omega t)$	$\frac{\omega}{(s-a)^2-\omega^2}$	$e^{at} \cosh(\omega t)$	$rac{s-a}{(s-a)^2-\omega^2}$

Aryaman Maithani (IIT Bombay)

Spring 2022 45 / 47

# Lerch's theorem tells us that if f and g are good enough functions with $\mathcal{L}(f) = \mathcal{L}(g)$ ,

Lerch's theorem tells us that if f and g are good enough functions with  $\mathcal{L}(f) = \mathcal{L}(g)$ , then f(t) = g(t) at all points of continuity of f and g.

Lerch's theorem tells us that if f and g are good enough functions with  $\mathcal{L}(f) = \mathcal{L}(g)$ , then f(t) = g(t) at all points of continuity of f and g.

It then makes sense to talk about  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ .

Lerch's theorem tells us that if f and g are good enough functions with  $\mathcal{L}(f) = \mathcal{L}(g)$ , then f(t) = g(t) at all points of continuity of f and g.

It then makes sense to talk about  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}.$  It is checked that  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  is also linear.
Lerch's theorem tells us that if f and g are good enough functions with  $\mathcal{L}(f) = \mathcal{L}(g)$ , then f(t) = g(t) at all points of continuity of f and g.

It then makes sense to talk about  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}.$  It is checked that  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  is also linear.

We have another theorems which says that if  $F = \mathcal{L}(f)$ , then  $\lim_{s \to \infty} F(s) = 0$ .

Lerch's theorem tells us that if f and g are good enough functions with  $\mathcal{L}(f) = \mathcal{L}(g)$ , then f(t) = g(t) at all points of continuity of f and g.

It then makes sense to talk about  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ . It is checked that  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$  is also linear.

We have another theorems which says that if  $F = \mathcal{L}(f)$ , then  $\lim_{s\to\infty} F(s) = 0$ . For example, this rules out 1,  $\sin(s)$ ,  $\log(s^2 + 1)$ ,  $\log(s^{-1})$  from being Laplace transforms.

For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{(s-a)^n}\right) = \frac{1}{n!}e^{at}t^{n-1}.$$

For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{(s-a)^n}\right) = \frac{1}{n!}e^{at}t^{n-1}.$$

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{c_1(s-a)+c_2}{(s-a)^2+b^2}\right) = e^{at}\left(c_1\cos(bt)+\frac{c_2}{b}\sin(bt)\right).$$

For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{(s-a)^n}\right) = \frac{1}{n!}e^{at}t^{n-1}.$$

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{c_1(s-a)+c_2}{(s-a)^2+b^2}\right) = e^{at}\left(c_1\cos(bt)+\frac{c_2}{b}\sin(bt)\right).$$

Sometimes, it may be useful to use derivatives. For example, if we wish to compute the Laplace inverse of  $F(s) = \log\left(\frac{s^2 + 1}{s^2 + 4}\right)$ , we note that

$$F'(s) = \frac{2s}{s^2+1} - \frac{2s}{s^2+4}$$

For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{(s-a)^n}\right) = \frac{1}{n!}e^{at}t^{n-1}.$$

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{c_1(s-a)+c_2}{(s-a)^2+b^2}\right) = e^{at}\left(c_1\cos(bt)+\frac{c_2}{b}\sin(bt)\right).$$

Sometimes, it may be useful to use derivatives. For example, if we wish to compute the Laplace inverse of  $F(s) = \log\left(\frac{s^2+1}{s^2+4}\right)$ , we note that  $F'(s) = \frac{2s}{s^2+1} - \frac{2s}{s^2+4}$ . Now, we can take Laplace inverse and using  $\mathcal{L}(tf(t)) = -F'(s)$ , we get the desired f.